# **Creve Coeur SD 76**

**District Superintendent** Mr. Steve Johnson

sjohnson@cc76.org

Address

400 N Highland St District Office Creve Coeur IL 61610 (309)698-3600

#### http://www.cc76.k12.il.us

#### **District Provided Statement**

Not available.

## **About the Report Card**

# State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

# **District Snapshot**

Percent of Adequacy : 73.8% Chronic Absenteeism : 35.8% Principal Turnover : 4 Schools in District : 2 Senate District : 46 House District : 91

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 02 | Academic Progress
- 67 | District Environment
- 74 | Students
- 108 | Accountability
- 126 | Teachers
- 134 | Administrators
- 138 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)
- 140 | NAEP

VISIT ILLINOISREPORTCARD.COM FOR MORE INFORMATION.




2022 - 2023

# **Academic Progress**

# About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



#### IAR

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	0.0%	25.6%	33.3%	28.2%	10.3%	2.6%
State	31.2%	19.4%	20.5%	27.1%	1.7%	19.9%	23.5%	23.6%	26.8%	<b>6.2</b> %
White										
District	32.4%	29.4%	23.5%	14.7%	0.0%	23.5%	<b>38.2</b> %	23.5%	11.8%	2.9%
State	19.7%	18.5%	24.0%	35.6%	2.3%	10.5%	19.0%	25.5%	36.4%	<b>8.7</b> %
Black										
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	50.3%	21.1%	15.4%	12.8%	0.4%	39.5%	28.8%	19.8%	11.0%	0.9%
Hispanic										
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	43.6%	21.6%	17.7%	16.5%	0.7%	27.2%	30.6%	23.8%	16.4%	2.0%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	14.0%	12.1%	20.0%	48.4%	5.5%	5.8%	12.1%	19.6%	42.6%	19.9%
Male								1	1	1
District	40.9%	22.7%	27.3%	9.1%	0.0%	31.8%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	4.5%
State	34.4%	20.1%	20.5%	23.8%	1.1%	19.8%	21.9%	22.9%	28.1%	7.3%
Female								1	1	1
District	17.6%	41.2%	17.6%	23.5%	0.0%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%	11.8%	0.0%
State	27.9%	18.6%	20.5%	30.6%	2.4%	20.0%	25.1%	24.3%	25.5%	5.1%
Non Binar	у									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	<b>66.7</b> %	0.0%	0.0%

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.0%	20.0%	26.0%	33.0%	1.0%	16.0%	23.0%	20.0%	33.0%	8.0%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	48.3%	17.7%	17.4%	15.6%	0.9%	29.3%	23.5%	25.0%	19.5%	2.7%
Two or Mo	re Races									1
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	27.7%	17.9%	21.2%	30.6%	2.7%	18.7%	21.6%	22.7%	29.0%	7.9%
Students	with Disabilit	ies								1
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	<b>‡</b>	+	+	ŧ	+
State	55.2%	18.9%	13.7%	11.6%	0.6%	<b>39.4</b> %	25.8%	17.4%	14.7%	2.8%
Students	with IEPs									1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	61.2%	18.5%	11.6%	8.3%	0.3%	44.1%	26.2%	15.7%	12.0%	2.0%
Non-IEP										
District	33.3%	26.7%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	<b>26.7</b> %	36.7%	23.3%	10.0%	3.3%
State	25.9%	19.5%	22.1%	30.5%	2.0%	15.6%	23.0%	25.0%	29.5%	7.0%
English Le	arners	1					1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50.3%	21.7%	16.1%	11.7%	0.2%	29.2%	30.9%	23.3%	15.1%	1.4%
Non-Engli	sh Learners									
District	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	0.0%	25.6%	33.3%	28.2%	10.3%	2.6%
State	26.8%	18.8%	21.5%	30.7%	2.1%	17.7%	21.7%	23.7%	29.6%	7.3%

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incor	ne									
District	22.6%	32.3%	29.0%	16.1%	0.0%	32.3%	25.8%	29.0%	12.9%	0.0%
State	44.7%	22.0%	17.8%	15.1%	0.5%	30.5%	29.5%	22.8%	15.5%	1.7%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	17.2%	16.7%	23.3%	39.7%	3.1%	8.9%	17.1%	24.5%	38.6%	10.9%
Homeless	-									1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>58.9</b> %	19.7%	12.5%	8.7%	0.2%	44.3%	27.6%	17.7%	9.8%	0.6%
Migrant			1	1			1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are	1			1	1				
District	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	55.5%	22.7%	13.5%	8.2%	0.1%	41.4%	29.5%	19.3%	9.4%	0.4%
Military		1		I	I	<u> </u>	I	I		
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	24.5%	20.5%	21.1%	31.9%	2.0%	16.4%	22.7%	24.4%	31.3%	5.2%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics	5			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	24.4%	34.1%	31.7%	9.8%	0.0%	46.3%	43.9%	7.3%	2.4%	0.0%
State	18.5%	21.2%	25.0%	28.1%	7.3%	20.0%	25.5%	26.7%	24.8%	2.9%
White										
District	25.0%	30.6%	<b>36.</b> 1%	8.3%	0.0%	38.9%	50.0%	8.3%	2.8%	0.0%
State	10.4%	17.3%	26.8%	35.8%	9.8%	10.3%	20.5%	30.9%	34.5%	<b>3.8</b> %
Black							-		1	
District	\$	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	\$
State	33.1%	28.7%	22.0%	14.1%	2.0%	41.2%	32.8%	18.4%	7.4%	0.3%
Hispanic									- I	
District	+	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	26.4%	25.8%	24.6%	20.1%	3.1%	27.3%	33.0%	25.2%	13.6%	0.7%
Asian									- I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	6.8%	10.6%	20.7%	42.3%	19.6%	5.2%	11.5%	23.7%	46.8%	12.8%
Male									- I	
District	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%	37.5%	45.8%	12.5%	4.2%	0.0%
State	20.8%	22.5%	25.1%	26.0%	5.7%	20.0%	23.5%	<b>26.</b> 1%	26.8%	3.5%
Female										
District	23.5%	47.1%	23.5%	5.9%	0.0%	58.8%	41.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	16.0%	19.8%	24.9%	30.3%	9.0%	20.0%	27.7%	27.2%	22.8%	2.3%
Non Binar	у					<u> </u>				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	10.0%	0.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.5%	14.5%	28.2%	25.5%	16.4%	14.5%	20.9%	26.4%	33.6%	4.5%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.4%	20.5%	26.3%	25.0%	6.8%	25.2%	25.2%	21.6%	24.8%	3.2%
Two or Mo	re Races									
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	15.9%	20.3%	24.7%	29.3%	9.9%	18.4%	24.4%	26.0%	27.0%	4.2%
Students	vith Disabilit	ies				1			1	1
District	41.7%	33.3%	<b>16.7</b> %	8.3%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	42.0%	25.7%	17.1%	12.5%	2.6%	41.5%	27.2%	17.7%	12.3%	1.3%
Students	vith IEPs					1			1	1
District	41.7%	33.3%	<b>16.7</b> %	8.3%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	49.2%	26.4%	14.4%	8.4%	1.6%	47.8%	27.6%	15.1%	8.7%	0.7%
Non-IEP						1				1
District	17.2%	34.5%	37.9%	10.3%	0.0%	34.5%	55.2%	6.9%	3.4%	0.0%
State	13.0%	20.3%	26.9%	31.6%	8.3%	15.1%	25.2%	28.8%	27.7%	3.3%
English Le	arners	l	l	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	32.9%	28.1%	23.3%	14.5%	1.2%	30.9%	34.2%	23.5%	11.0%	0.3%
Non-Englis	sh Learners	I	I	I	<u> </u>	I		<u> </u>		
District	24.4%	34.1%	31.7%	9.8%	0.0%	46.3%	43.9%	7.3%	2.4%	0.0%
State	15.2%	19.6%	25.4%	31.1%	8.7%	17.5%	23.5%	27.4%	28.0%	3.5%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incor	ne									
District	28.6%	28.6%	31.4%	11.4%	0.0%	48.6%	42.9%	5.7%	2.9%	0.0%
State	27.9%	27.0%	24.6%	18.1%	2.4%	30.8%	32.5%	23.9%	12.3%	0.6%
Non Low I	ncome									1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	8.7%	15.2%	25.4%	38.4%	12.3%	8.9%	18.4%	29.6%	37.8%	5.4%
Homeless										1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.9%	27.9%	19.5%	10.4%	1.2%	45.9%	29.8%	17.6%	6.6%	0.1%
Migrant					1		1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are				1		1	1	1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+
State	39.6%	25.6%	20.0%	13.7%	1.1%	42.6%	30.7%	19.1%	7.6%	0.0%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	14.6%	19.3%	24.9%	32.5%	8.7%	15.8%	23.5%	29.4%	28.5%	2.8%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathemati	CS			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	23.6%	36.4%	30.9%	9.1%	0.0%	20.0%	43.6%	34.5%	1.8%	0.0%
State	<b>16.8</b> %	21.3%	<b>26.7</b> %	<b>32.1</b> %	3.1%	20.7%	<b>28.7</b> %	25.0%	<b>21.7</b> %	<b>3.9</b> %
White										
District	20.8%	<b>39.6</b> %	31.3%	8.3%	0.0%	14.6%	47.9%	35.4%	2.1%	0.0%
State	9.3%	<b>16.7</b> %	28.1%	<b>41.8</b> %	4.1%	<b>11.2</b> %	23.9%	<b>29.7</b> %	30.4%	4.9%
Black										
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	30.2%	30.0%	24.3%	15.0%	0.5%	42.0%	34.9%	16.5%	6.2%	0.4%
Hispanic		- <b>-</b>								
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	\$	ŧ	\$	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	24.2%	<b>26.4</b> %	27.0%	21.3%	1.1%	27.3%	<b>36.4</b> %	23.2%	12.0%	1.1%
Asian		- <b>-</b>								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.5%	9.9%	21.4%	51.9%	11.3%	5.3%	13.8%	21.6%	41.7%	17.5%
Male			ц						I	
District	13.0%	<b>39.1</b> %	34.8%	13.0%	0.0%	8.7%	56.5%	30.4%	4.3%	0.0%
State	19.1%	22.4%	26.6%	29.7%	2.3%	21.4%	27.1%	23.6%	23.1%	4.8%
Female			- I							
District	31.3%	34.4%	28.1%	6.3%	0.0%	28.1%	34.4%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
State	14.5%	20.1%	26.9%	34.5%	<b>3.9</b> %	19.9%	30.4%	26.5%	20.2%	3.0%
Non Binar	у									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	15.8%	42.1%	5.3%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	waiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.6%	15.5%	27.1%	41.9%	3.9%	14.8%	27.3%	25.8%	31.3%	0.8%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	18.5%	31.4%	26.4%	21.8%	2.0%	24.1%	36.3%	22.1%	14.5%	3.0%
Two or Mo	re Races									
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	15.3%	19.6%	26.3%	34.3%	4.4%	19.9%	28.0%	23.7%	22.7%	5.8%
Students	with Disabilit	ies								
District	66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	<b>8.3</b> %	0.0%	41.7%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	39.9%	26.5%	18.5%	14.1%	1.0%	42.3%	29.9%	15.7%	10.3%	1.7%
Students	with IEPs									
District	66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	<b>8.3</b> %	0.0%	41.7%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	48.2%	28.1%	15.0%	8.3%	0.5%	49.9%	30.0%	12.7%	6.4%	0.9%
Non-IEP										1
District	11.6%	41.9%	37.2%	9.3%	0.0%	14.0%	48.8%	34.9%	2.3%	0.0%
State	11.3%	20.1%	28.8%	36.2%	3.6%	15.5%	28.5%	27.2%	24.4%	4.4%
English Le	arners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	35.8%	32.9%	23.2%	7.9%	0.2%	35.8%	40.2%	18.4%	5.2%	0.3%
Non-Engli	sh Learners									
District	23.6%	36.4%	30.9%	9.1%	0.0%	20.0%	43.6%	34.5%	1.8%	0.0%
State	13.3%	19.1%	27.4%	36.6%	3.7%	17.8%	26.6%	26.3%	24.8%	4.6%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ne									
District	25.0%	36.4%	31.8%	6.8%	0.0%	22.7%	45.5%	31.8%	0.0%	0.0%
State	25.9%	27.7%	26.7%	18.9%	0.8%	31.9%	35.5%	21.5%	10.3%	0.8%
Non Low I	ncome		1	1		1		1		
District	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%
State	7.6%	14.7%	26.7%	45.5%	5.5%	9.2%	21.8%	28.7%	33.3%	7.0%
Homeless		1	1	1		1		1		
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	38.9%	29.5%	20.8%	10.6%	0.3%	46.8%	33.5%	14.0%	5.5%	0.2%
Migrant			1	1		1		1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are		1	1		1		1		
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	33.8%	29.3%	23.7%	13.0%	0.3%	41.7%	33.6%	17.0%	7.6%	0.1%
Military		·	1	1		1				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	12.7%	17.7%	27.4%	37.2%	4.9%	13.5%	<b>27.8</b> %	30.5%	24.4%	3.8%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathemati	CS			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	25.0%	19.6%	<b>35.7</b> %	19.6%	0.0%	25.0%	28.6%	35.7%	10.7%	0.0%
State	16.3%	21.8%	<b>27.8</b> %	30.3%	<b>3.9</b> %	22.0%	28.0%	26.5%	20.5%	<b>2.9</b> %
White										
District	24.4%	22.2%	35.6%	17.8%	0.0%	24.4%	33.3%	31.1%	11.1%	0.0%
State	9.0%	17.3%	29.4%	<b>39.0</b> %	5.3%	12.3%	23.5%	31.9%	28.8%	3.6%
Black										
District	+	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	29.3%	29.9%	25.1%	14.9%	0.9%	42.2%	35.1%	17.0%	5.4%	0.3%
Hispanic										
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	23.0%	27.3%	<b>27.9</b> %	20.3%	1.5%	<b>29.8</b> %	34.7%	23.9%	10.9%	0.7%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.3%	<b>8.7</b> %	21.4%	52.4%	12.1%	5.6%	12.4%	22.7%	43.2%	<b>16.1</b> %
Male										
District	20.6%	20.6%	44.1%	14.7%	0.0%	17.6%	32.4%	38.2%	11.8%	0.0%
State	19.4%	24.0%	<b>27.8</b> %	26.3%	2.5%	22.7%	26.8%	25.5%	21.6%	3.4%
Female	I			U		U				
District	31.8%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%	0.0%	36.4%	22.7%	31.8%	9.1%	0.0%
State	13.0%	19.4%	27.7%	34.5%	5.4%	21.3%	29.4%	27.5%	19.3%	2.5%
Non Bina	ry			1	1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	4.8%	14.3%	38.1%	<b>38</b> .1%	4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12.3%	19.6%	29.7%	33.3%	5.1%	22.5%	22.5%	34.1%	19.6%	1.4%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	24.4%	25.1%	25.8%	19.9%	4.9%	30.0%	31.7%	21.6%	13.6%	3.1%
Two or Mo	re Races									
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	14.9%	20.2%	27.2%	<b>32.9</b> %	4.8%	21.0%	27.2%	25.9%	21.9%	4.0%
Students	with Disabilit	ies	1	1		1				1
District	47.1%	23.5%	29.4%	0.0%	0.0%	47.1%	29.4%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%
State	39.5%	28.0%	19.1%	12.3%	1.1%	42.5%	31.6%	15.8%	9.0%	1.1%
Students	with IEPs		1	1		1				1
District	47.1%	23.5%	29.4%	0.0%	0.0%	47.1%	29.4%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%
State	48.7%	29.6%	14.7%	6.6%	0.4%	50.4%	32.4%	11.8%	4.8%	0.5%
Non-IEP		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
District	15.4%	17.9%	38.5%	28.2%	0.0%	15.4%	28.2%	41.0%	15.4%	0.0%
State	10.8%	20.5%	29.9%	34.3%	4.5%	17.3%	27.3%	28.9%	23.1%	3.3%
English Le	arners	1	I	1	l	1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.1%	35.0%	21.1%	5.6%	0.1%	43.0%	<b>39</b> .1%	14.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Non-Engli	sh Learners	I	I	I	I	I		I		
District	25.0%	19.6%	35.7%	19.6%	0.0%	25.0%	28.6%	35.7%	10.7%	0.0%
State	12.7%	19.6%	28.8%	34.3%	4.5%	18.6%	26.2%	28.4%	23.4%	3.4%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	29.3%	19.5%	41.5%	9.8%	0.0%	26.8%	31.7%	39.0%	2.4%	0.0%
State	24.8%	28.4%	27.4%	18.3%	1.2%	33.3%	34.6%	22.3%	9.2%	0.6%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	13.3%	20.0%	20.0%	<b>46.7</b> %	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	26.7%	33.3%	0.0%
State	7.7%	15.1%	28.2%	42.4%	6.6%	10.7%	21.4%	30.7%	31.9%	5.3%
Homeless										1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	36.8%	29.5%	22.1%	11.0%	0.6%	46.2%	33.0%	16.9%	3.8%	0.1%
Migrant										1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are		1		1		1	1		1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	32.3%	33.7%	21.8%	11.7%	0.5%	40.3%	39.2%	17.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Military	1			1		1				
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	13.3%	21.4%	28.2%	32.1%	5.0%	18.2%	26.8%	28.6%	24.7%	1.8%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathemati	cs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	42.3%	28.8%	21.2%	7.7%	0.0%	19.2%	57.7%	17.3%	5.8%	0.0%
State	18.5%	19.0%	24.6%	28.5%	9.4%	14.2%	29.1%	29.8%	23.2%	3.7%
White										
District	<b>37.2</b> %	<b>32.6</b> %	20.9%	9.3%	0.0%	20.9%	51.2%	20.9%	7.0%	0.0%
State	11.5%	<b>15.2</b> %	25.0%	35.7%	12.7%	<b>7.6</b> %	22.2%	33.3%	32.2%	4.6%
Black	- L	- i					i			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	31.4%	25.7%	23.8%	16.5%	2.6%	28.3%	41.6%	22.7%	7.1%	0.4%
Hispanic			<u>ц</u>		I		II			
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	25.2%	23.3%	25.9%	21.3%	4.3%	18.7%	36.9%	29.6%	13.8%	1.0%
Asian			I				I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	6.2%	8.3%	18.3%	40.6%	26.6%	4.0%	11.1%	23.2%	43.1%	18.6%
Male								I		
District	40.0%	35.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%	25.0%	45.0%	25.0%	5.0%	0.0%
State	22.0%	20.8%	24.7%	25.5%	7.1%	14.3%	28.0%	29.5%	24.1%	4.1%
Female							I		I	
District	43.8%	25.0%	25.0%	6.3%	0.0%	15.6%	65.6%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%
State	14.9%	17.1%	24.5%	31.7%	11.8%	14.0%	30.4%	30.1%	22.3%	3.2%
Non Binar	у									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.6%	15.4%	17.9%	48.7%	15.4%	0.0%	18.9%	35.1%	43.2%	2.7%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	waiian/ Paci	fic Islander						1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19.0%	19.0%	14.7%	37.1%	10.3%	18.1%	19.8%	31.9%	24.1%	6.0%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	23.5%	22.5%	24.9%	20.7%	8.4%	19.9%	30.5%	31.2%	14.9%	3.5%
Two or Mo	re Races									
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	+
State	16.5%	19.5%	23.4%	29.5%	11.1%	13.1%	28.5%	29.5%	24.0%	4.8%
Students	with Disabili	ties								
District	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
State	43.1%	23.3%	17.8%	12.9%	2.9%	34.3%	35.3%	18.9%	10.2%	1.3%
Students	with IEPs									
District	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
State	53.3%	24.4%	14.4%	6.9%	0.9%	42.8%	37.9%	14.0%	4.8%	0.5%
Non-IEP									1	1
District	34.1%	29.3%	26.8%	9.8%	0.0%	14.6%	58.5%	19.5%	7.3%	0.0%
State	12.8%	18.1%	26.3%	32.1%	10.8%	9.4%	27.7%	32.4%	26.3%	4.2%
English Le	earners			1	1		1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.6%	28.9%	21.2%	7.9%	0.5%	29.6%	45.0%	21.0%	4.2%	0.2%
Non-Engli	sh Learners	<u>.</u>		I	I	I	I	I		
District	42.3%	28.8%	21.2%	7.7%	0.0%	19.2%	57.7%	17.3%	5.8%	0.0%
State	14.9%	17.4%	25.1%	31.8%	10.8%	11.7%	26.6%	31.2%	26.2%	4.2%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	46.3%	29.3%	19.5%	4.9%	0.0%	19.5%	58.5%	14.6%	7.3%	0.0%
State	27.4%	24.2%	25.4%	19.4%	3.6%	21.8%	38.1%	27.5%	11.8%	0.8%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	9.6%	13.7%	23.8%	37.7%	15.2%	6.6%	20.2%	32.1%	34.6%	6.6%
Homeless			1	1					1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.2%	24.1%	21.6%	12.8%	1.3%	30.6%	41.4%	22.2%	5.7%	0.1%
Migrant			1	1					1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are		1	1					1	1
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+
State	36.9%	27.6%	22.2%	10.9%	2.4%	32.6%	38.5%	23.4%	5.5%	0.0%
Military	<u>.</u>	·	1						<u> </u>	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.0%	20.6%	22.9%	31.4%	10.0%	11.5%	28.8%	31.2%	25.4%	3.1%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathemati	cs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	17.0%	29.8%	29.8%	21.3%	2.1%	<b>46.8</b> %	25.5%	19.1%	8.5%	0.0%
State	15.3%	19.0%	25.2%	33.9%	6.6%	29.4%	23.2%	21.6%	21.5%	4.2%
White										
District	<b>17.</b> 1%	29.3%	26.8%	24.4%	2.4%	46.3%	24.4%	19.5%	9.8%	0.0%
State	9.0%	14.6%	25.2%	<b>41.9</b> %	9.3%	18.5%	20.9%	<b>25.9</b> %	29.4%	5.3%
Black										
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	25.7%	27.1%	25.7%	19.8%	1.7%	51.2%	26.5%	14.5%	7.2%	0.5%
Hispanic										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.6%	23.5%	26.6%	25.6%	2.7%	<b>38.2</b> %	27.3%	19.9%	13.2%	1.3%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>4.9</b> %	7.9%	17.9%	50.2%	19.2%	8.7%	11.2%	17.5%	40.8%	<b>21.7</b> %
Male										
District	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	12.5%	4.2%	<b>54.2</b> %	<b>16.7</b> %	<b>16.7</b> %	12.5%	0.0%
State	18.8%	21.2%	26.1%	<b>29.7</b> %	4.3%	30.8%	22.8%	20.5%	21.3%	4.5%
Female			I			I				
District	<b>8.7</b> %	17.4%	43.5%	30.4%	0.0%	<b>39.1</b> %	34.8%	21.7%	4.3%	0.0%
State	11.8%	16.6%	24.2%	38.3%	9.0%	27.9%	23.6%	22.8%	21.7%	3.9%
Non Binar	у								I	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.3%	6.7%	30.0%	40.0%	15.0%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	35.0%	5.0%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	waiian/ Pacil	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	13.5%	11.5%	26.3%	41.0%	7.7%	21.2%	23.1%	23.7%	25.6%	6.4%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.3%	20.6%	21.2%	39.9%	3.1%	28.1%	26.6%	21.3%	20.3%	3.8%
Two or Mo	re Races		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	14.7%	18.4%	23.0%	36.6%	7.3%	29.7%	22.1%	20.4%	22.7%	5.1%
Students	with Disabilit	ies			1		1	1	1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	+	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	37.5%	25.9%	19.4%	15.0%	2.2%	55.0%	21.7%	12.3%	9.4%	1.5%
Students	with IEPs	1			1				1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	48.0%	28.3%	16.0%	6.9%	0.7%	67.2%	20.8%	7.9%	3.7%	0.5%
Non-IEP			1	1	1	1	1	1		1
District	17.9%	23.1%	35.9%	20.5%	2.6%	41.0%	30.8%	17.9%	10.3%	0.0%
State	10.2%	17.5%	26.6%	38.1%	7.5%	23.5%	23.6%	23.8%	24.3%	4.8%
English Le	arners	1			1		1	1		1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	39.6%	31.5%	21.3%	7.4%	0.2%	57.5%	27.9%	11.1%	3.3%	0.2%
Non-Engli	sh Learners	1								
District	17.0%	29.8%	29.8%	21.3%	2.1%	46.8%	25.5%	<b>19.1</b> %	8.5%	0.0%
State	11.8%	17.1%	25.8%	37.8%	7.6%	25.3%	22.5%	23.2%	24.2%	4.8%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incor	ne									
District	17.1%	34.1%	31.7%	17.1%	0.0%	48.8%	24.4%	22.0%	4.9%	0.0%
State	22.9%	24.7%	26.4%	23.3%	2.6%	42.2%	26.9%	18.4%	11.4%	1.2%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+	+	+	ŧ	+
State	7.7%	13.2%	24.0%	44.5%	10.6%	16.6%	19.5%	24.9%	31.7%	7.3%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	34.1%	24.7%	23.5%	16.3%	1.3%	54.6%	25.1%	14.2%	5.8%	0.3%
Migrant									1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are								1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	32.6%	24.8%	24.4%	17.2%	0.9%	57.4%	23.5%	13.7%	5.0%	0.3%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	13.1%	16.8%	25.7%	35.3%	9.1%	25.6%	21.2%	22.4%	25.3%	5.5%

#### DLM

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All			1	1	1	1		
District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ
State	72.3%	14.3%	12.8%	0.5%	<b>75.7</b> %	10.0%	9.7%	4.6%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.2%	12.3%	14.0%	0.5%	<b>76.1</b> %	10.5%	8.8%	4.6%
Black								
District	+	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	66.6%	17.0%	15.7%	0.8%	73.9%	11.0%	9.7%	5.5%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.9%	14.9%	11.0%	0.2%	76.3%	8.3%	11.4%	3.9%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	84.4%	10.0%	4.4%	1.1%	83.1%	5.6%	9.0%	2.2%
Male	_	1					1	1
District	*	•	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.5%	13.9%	12.9%	0.6%	74.9%	8.8%	10.6%	5.7%
Female		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.9%	15.2%	12.7%	0.2%	77.6%	12.5%	7.7%	2.2%
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics	3		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacific I	slander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American lı	ndian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	e Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.7%	17.1%	12.2%	0.0%	70.7%	13.4%	8.5%	7.3%
Students w	ith Disabilities							
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	72.4%	14.3%	12.8%	0.5%	75.7%	10.0%	9.7%	4.6%
Students w	ith IEPs							
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	72.4%	14.3%	12.8%	0.5%	75.7%	10.0%	9.7%	4.6%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
English Lea	mers			I		i	I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.7%	13.9%	9.8%	0.6%	76.3%	8.3%	11.0%	4.4%
Non-Englis	h Learners							
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+
State	70.9%	14.5%	14.1%	0.4%	75.5%	10.6%	9.2%	4.7%

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics	;		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income	e							
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	71.0%	14.4%	14.0%	0.6%	73.8%	10.6%	10.4%	5.1%
Non Low Inc	come							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.7%	14.2%	10.8%	0.3%	<b>79</b> .1%	8.8%	8.4%	3.7%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.7%	16.2%	8.1%	0.0%	84.2%	2.6%	10.5%	2.6%
Migrant					<u>`</u>			i
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Ca	re				L		I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.0%	12.0%	12.0%	0.0%	64.0%	24.0%	4.0%	8.0%
Military	i.						I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.6%	11.1%	33.3%	0.0%	55.6%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ
State	71.7%	19.0%	9.0%	0.4%	<b>59.6</b> %	10.2%	22.5%	<b>7.8</b> %
White								
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	69.0%	19.9%	10.5%	0.7%	<b>57.8</b> %	10.5%	24.1%	7.7%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	68.7%	20.9%	10.4%	0.0%	56.4%	11.0%	22.8%	9.8%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.3%	18.0%	6.5%	0.2%	62.3%	8.5%	22.4%	6.7%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	85.4%	8.7%	5.8%	0.0%	70.9%	12.6%	12.6%	3.9%
Male			I	I	I	I	I	I
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.5%	18.8%	9.2%	0.5%	59.3%	9.7%	22.5%	8.5%
Female	I			I	I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.0%	19.5%	8.4%	0.2%	60.1%	11.2%	22.4%	6.3%
Non Binary		1	I	1		1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific	Islander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Ir	ndian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>66.7</b> %	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Two or More	e Races		I		I		I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	24.6%	7.0%	1.8%	57.9%	8.8%	22.8%	10.5%
Students w	ith Disabilities	5	I		I		I	I
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.7%	19.0%	9.0%	0.4%	59.5%	10.2%	22.5%	7.8%
Students w	ith IEPs		I		I		I	I
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.7%	19.0%	9.0%	0.4%	59.5%	10.2%	22.5%	7.8%
Non-IEP			I		I		I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
English Lea	rners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	78.0%	15.6%	6.0%	0.4%	64.7%	7.8%	19.6%	8.0%
Non-Englist	1 Learners							
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	69.2%	20.4%	10.1%	0.4%	57.5%	11.2%	23.7%	7.7%

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics	3		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income	3							
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	70.3%	19.2%	10.2%	0.3%	58.0%	9.7%	23.7%	8.6%
Non Low Inc	come							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.1%	18.5%	6.8%	0.5%	62.3%	11.0%	20.3%	6.3%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	50.0%	4.5%	27.3%	18.2%
Migrant	I				I	I	I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Ca	re	I	I	I			I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	•	*	*
State	65.5%	20.7%	13.8%	0.0%	53.6%	17.9%	25.0%	3.6%
Military		L	1	I.			l	
District	*	*	*	*	*	•	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	61.5%	7.7%	30.8%	0.0%

Grade 5									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All									
District	\$	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	\$	+	ŧ	
State	65.8%	17.3%	15.7%	1.3%	61.6%	21.9%	9.4%	7.1%	
White									
District	+	+	+	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	
State	64.8%	15.7%	17.9%	1.6%	62.5%	21.4%	8.6%	7.6%	
Black									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	61.0%	20.9%	16.3%	1.9%	54.8%	22.9%	12.5%	9.8%	
Hispanic									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	<b>69.7</b> %	17.1%	12.7%	0.5%	64.7%	22.4%	8.7%	4.2%	
Asian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	<b>70</b> .1%	14.9%	14.9%	0.0%	61.2%	23.5%	9.4%	5.9%	
Male		·							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	67.2%	17.1%	14.6%	1.2%	62.0%	21.2%	9.9%	6.9%	
Female		I			I	I		I	
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	
State	62.9%	17.6%	17.8%	1.6%	60.9%	23.3%	8.3%	7.5%	
Non Binary	1	1		1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Grade 5									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific Is	slander							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
American In	Idian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Two or More	Races				i				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	68.2%	16.7%	13.6%	1.5%	<b>69.7</b> %	18.2%	4.5%	7.6%	
Students wi	th Disabilities								
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
State	65.8%	17.2%	15.7%	1.3%	61.7%	21.9%	9.4%	7.0%	
Students wi	th IEPs			i	I	I		I	
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
State	65.8%	17.2%	15.7%	1.3%	61.7%	21.9%	9.4%	7.0%	
Non-IEP	- I			i	I	I		I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
English Lea	rners		I	I			I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	71.5%	17.0%	11.1%	0.5%	66.9%	21.3%	7.6%	4.2%	
Non-English	Learners	1	1	1		1			
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	
State	63.7%	17.4%	17.4%	1.6%	59.7%	22.1%	10.0%	8.2%	

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Low Income	9									
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+		
State	<b>63.8</b> %	17.7%	16.9%	1.6%	60.0%	22.7%	10.2%	7.2%		
Non Low Inc	come									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	69.3%	16.5%	13.6%	0.7%	64.6%	20.5%	8.0%	6.9%		
Homeless	·				<u>`</u>					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	54.8%	12.9%	32.3%	0.0%	45.2%	35.5%	0.0%	19.4%		
Migrant	<b>i</b>			I	L		I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Youth In Ca	re	I				I				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	60.0%	26.7%	10.0%	3.3%	58.6%	34.5%	0.0%	6.9%		
Military		1					I	1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%		

Grade 6										
	ELA				Mathematics	Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
All										
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		
State	62.6%	23.2%	11.6%	2.6%	<b>69.9</b> %	17.4%	7.0%	5.6%		
White										
District	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+		
State	61.5%	24.1%	11.9%	2.6%	72.3%	17.7%	5.7%	4.3%		
Black										
District	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+		
State	59.7%	23.6%	15.0%	1.7%	62.8%	18.9%	9.2%	9.2%		
Hispanic										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	65.1%	22.9%	8.7%	3.4%	71.3%	16.4%	7.5%	4.8%		
Asian		·								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	73.8%	16.3%	7.5%	2.5%	77.2%	10.1%	7.6%	5.1%		
Male		·								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	62.6%	23.6%	11.4%	2.3%	67.8%	18.4%	7.7%	6.0%		
Female										
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+		
State	62.6%	22.3%	11.9%	3.2%	74.0%	15.4%	5.7%	4.9%		
Non Binary										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		

Grade 6										
	ELA				Mathematics	Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific I	slander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%		
American Ir	ndian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More	e Races				i	i				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	55.9%	23.7%	16.9%	3.4%	69.5%	20.3%	5.1%	5.1%		
Students w	ith Disabilities	;			i	<b>i</b>	I			
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		
State	62.6%	23.2%	<b>11.6</b> %	2.6%	69.9%	17.4%	7.0%	5.6%		
Students w	ith IEPs				i	i				
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+		
State	62.6%	23.2%	11.6%	2.6%	69.9%	17.4%	7.0%	5.6%		
Non-IEP					i					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
English Lea	rners				i	i				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	<b>67.5</b> %	21.7%	7.9%	2.8%	74.1%	14.4%	7.2%	4.4%		
Non-Englist	h Learners	1					I			
District	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ		
State	60.9%	23.7%	12.9%	2.5%	68.4%	18.5%	7.0%	6.1%		

Grade 6									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income									
District	+	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	
State	61.5%	23.2%	12.3%	2.9%	68.0%	17.9%	8.1%	6.1%	
Non Low Inco	ome								
District	•	•	*	*	*	*	*	•	
State	64.5%	23.2%	10.3%	2.1%	73.3%	16.6%	5.2%	4.9%	
Homeless									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	53.1%	37.5%	9.4%	0.0%	71.9%	15.6%	6.3%	6.3%	
Migrant									
District	*	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Youth In Care	)	1	1	1		1	1	1	
District	*	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	60.9%	17.4%	17.4%	4.3%	73.9%	13.0%	13.0%	0.0%	
Military									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	

Grade 7									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All			T	T					
District	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
State	53.0%	28.2%	15.2%	3.7%	75.8%	14.2%	6.2%	3.9%	
White									
District	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
State	52.2%	26.4%	16.5%	4.9%	77.8%	14.3%	5.1%	2.7%	
Black					1	1	1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	<b>49.1</b> %	30.5%	17.2%	3.2%	71.0%	14.5%	8.7%	5.8%	
Hispanic		1	1	1			1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	57.1%	28.5%	12.3%	2.1%	77.8%	12.4%	5.4%	4.4%	
Asian			1	1				1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	59.2%	32.4%	5.6%	2.8%	<b>76.</b> 1%	15.5%	4.2%	4.2%	
Male			1	1				1	
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	
State	50.8%	29.1%	16.3%	3.8%	72.9%	16.2%	6.3%	4.6%	
Female		1	1	1	I	I	I	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	57.2%	26.3%	13.1%	3.4%	81.3%	10.3%	5.9%	2.4%	
Non Binary	1	1	I	I	1	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Grade 7										
	ELA				Mathematics	Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific	Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
American In	ndian									
District	*	*	*	•	*	*	*	*		
State	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More	e Races									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	46.8%	25.5%	23.4%	4.3%	68.1%	21.3%	10.6%	0.0%		
Students wi	ith Disabilities	3				·				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		
State	53.0%	28.1%	15.2%	3.7%	75.7%	14.2%	6.2%	3.9%		
Students wi	ith IEPs				<u>`</u>					
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		
State	53.0%	28.1%	15.2%	3.7%	75.7%	14.2%	6.2%	3.9%		
Non-IEP					<u>`</u>					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
English Lea	rners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	56.1%	28.3%	12.0%	3.5%	75.9%	13.5%	6.3%	4.3%		
Non-English	1 Learners									
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+		
State	51.8%	28.1%	16.4%	3.7%	75.7%	14.4%	6.1%	3.7%		

Grade 7								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	51.0%	29.8%	15.7%	3.5%	73.2%	15.2%	7.0%	4.6%
Non Low Inco	ome							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	56.4%	25.4%	14.3%	3.9%	80.2%	12.5%	4.7%	2.6%
Homeless								
District	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	35.7%	40.5%	21.4%	2.4%	62.8%	23.3%	11.6%	2.3%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care	9							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>64.7</b> %	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%	76.5%	11.8%	0.0%	11.8%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	\$	+	+
State	53.0%	31.6%	15.3%	0.1%	66.0%	27.2%	5.6%	1.2%
White								
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	+
State	55.7%	31.2%	12.9%	0.2%	69.8%	24.8%	4.9%	0.5%
Black						·		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.4%	36.0%	17.5%	0.0%	60.7%	29.9%	7.1%	2.3%
Hispanic						·		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.3%	29.2%	17.5%	0.0%	64.7%	28.8%	5.2%	1.3%
Asian		I	I		I		<b>i</b>	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	61.5%	26.9%	11.5%	0.0%	70.1%	22.1%	6.5%	1.3%
Male	W			I	I	I	<b>I</b>	
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	53.2%	31.7%	15.0%	0.1%	64.5%	28.3%	6.0%	1.1%
Female	W	I		I	I	I	<b>I</b>	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	31.1%	15.9%	0.0%	69.0%	24.9%	4.7%	1.4%
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics	3		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific I	slander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American In	ndian						·	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	Races			i		i	i	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	43.8%	39.6%	16.7%	0.0%	59.6%	31.9%	6.4%	2.1%
Students wi	ith Disabilities			i			i	
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	53.1%	31.5%	15.3%	0.1%	66.0%	27.2%	5.6%	1.2%
Students wi	ith IEPs			i			i	
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	53.1%	31.5%	15.3%	0.1%	66.0%	27.2%	5.6%	1.2%
Non-IEP				i			i	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
English Lea	rners	I		I	I	i	I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.4%	28.2%	16.4%	0.0%	64.1%	29.0%	5.8%	1.0%
Non-English	Learners							
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	52.2%	32.8%	14.9%	0.1%	<b>66.7</b> %	26.5%	5.5%	1.3%

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	+	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	51.4%	31.7%	16.9%	0.0%	63.8%	28.6%	5.9%	1.7%
Non Low Inc	ome							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	56.0%	31.4%	12.4%	0.2%	70.0%	24.8%	4.9%	0.4%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	59.4%	18.8%	21.9%	0.0%	65.6%	34.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Car	9							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.7%	32.1%	7.1%	0.0%	75.0%	21.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	75.6%	17.5%	6.9%	0.1%
White				
District	+	<b>‡</b>	+	<b>‡</b>
State	73.4%	18.7%	7.9%	0.0%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	73.6%	18.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	79.6%	13.7%	6.5%	0.3%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	77.8%	19.8%	2.5%	0.0%
Male				
District	*	*	*	*
State	76.6%	16.4%	6.9%	0.1%
Female				
District	+	<b>‡</b>	+	<b>‡</b>
State	73.4%	19.7%	6.8%	0.0%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
	1	1	1	

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	: Islander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	21.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Students with Disabilitie	es			
District	+	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>
State	75.6%	17.5%	6.8%	0.1%
Students with IEPs				
District	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>
State	75.6%	17.5%	6.8%	0.1%
Non-IEP				
District	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	79.8%	15.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Non-English Learners			·	·
District	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ
State	74.0%	18.3%	7.8%	0.0%
ndicates non-reported data.‡i	ndicates suppressed data due to p	rivacy concerns. Student counts r	eported are counts out of groups	10 or greater.

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	+	+	+	ŧ
State	74.7%	17.8%	7.4%	0.1%
Non Low Income				
District	*	*	*	*
State	77.2%	16.8%	6.0%	0.0%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	54.8%	32.3%	12.9%	0.0%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	80.0%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	65.9%	19.8%	12.5%	1.8%
White	1		1	
District	+	ŧ	+	+
State	67.3%	20.3%	11.0%	1.5%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	60.3%	21.3%	16.4%	2.1%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.5%	18.9%	12.1%	2.4%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	72.0%	16.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Male				
District	+	<b>‡</b>	+	<b>‡</b>
State	66.1%	18.9%	12.9%	2.1%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.6%	21.3%	11.8%	1.2%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	•	•	•	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races				<u> </u>
District	•	*	•	*
State	63.8%	21.3%	12.8%	2.1%
Students with Disabilities	;			1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	65.8%	19.8%	12.5%	1.8%
Students with IEPs				1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	65.8%	19.8%	12.5%	1.8%
Non-IEP				<u> </u>
District	•	*	•	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
English Learners				<u> </u>
District	*	*	*	*
State	70.2%	17.2%	12.1%	0.5%
Non-English Learners		I		
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	64.2%	20.8%	12.7%	2.3%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	+	+	+	ŧ
State	65.1%	18.8%	13.9%	2.2%
Non Low Income				
District	*	*	*	*
State	67.3%	21.6%	9.9%	1.2%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	84.6%	3.8%	11.5%	0.0%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	•	*
State	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%

### ISA

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

Grade 5						
	Science					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
All						
District	20.0%	49.1%	27.3%	3.6%		
State	16.0%	31.6%	36.2%	16.2%		
White						
District	16.7%	54.2%	25.0%	4.2%		
State	8.2%	25.4%	43.5%	22.9%		
Black						
District	+	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>		
State	32.8%	41.4%	22.1%	3.6%		
Hispanic						
District	+	+	+	+		
State	21.5%	39.4%	31.4%	7.7%		
Asian						
Asian District	*	*	*	•		
	* 6.2%	* 17.4%	* 39.8%	* 36.7%		
District	6.2%					
District State	6.2%					
District State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	6.2% Slander			36.7%		
District State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I District	6.2% slander *	*	<b>39.8</b> %	*		
District State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I District State	6.2% slander *	*	<b>39.8</b> %	*		

Select           iva1         iva3         iva4           iva1         iva3         iva4           iva order Reaces         iva1         iva1         iva1           iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1           iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1           iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1         iva1           iva1 </th <th>Grade 5</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Grade 5				
Norman StressNorman StressDistrict11		Science			
NationNoteNoteNoteStateNaNaNaNaStatest with DisabilityNaNaNaState with DisationNaNaNaStateSaNaNaNaStateSaNaNaNaStaten with DisationNaNaNaStaten with DisationSaNaNaStaten with DisationSaNaNaStaten with DisationNaNaNaStaten with DisationSaNaNaStaten with DisationSaNaNaStaten with DisationSaNaNaStaten with DisationNaNaNaStaten with DisationSaSaNaStaten with DisationNaSaNaStaten with DisationSaSaNaStaten with DisationSaSaSa </td <td></td> <td>Level 1</td> <td>Level 2</td> <td>Level 3</td> <td>Level 4</td>		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Two or More Races				
NoteImageImageImageImageState and the set of the se	District	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District50%33%67%0.%State50%47%2.0%6.4%Students with IEPs50%35%6.7%0.9%District40%32%6.8%4.9%State40%52%6.8%4.9%Non-IEP55%5.5%6.5%6.7%State16%55%5.5%6.7%State16%5.5%5.5%6.7%State16%5.6%3.6%6.7%State5.6%5.6%5.6%6.7%State5.6%6.8%6.3%6.7%State5.6%6.8%6.3%6.7%State5.6%6.8%6.3%6.7%State5.6%6.8%6.3%6.7%State5.6%6.8%6.8%7%State5.7%5.5%5.4%7.1%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%State5.6%5.6%5.6%5.6%Sta	State	14.1%	30.0%	36.3%	19.7%
Name StateSolutionSolutionSolutionState50%54%220%84%Students with IEPSDistrict50%53%57%0%State20%82%86%4%State20%52%58%4%Non-IEPDistrict16%53%92%4%State16%36%35%18%State16%36%35%18%State26%46%20%35%District16%64%20%36%State16%54%30%36%State10%64%54%36%State10%64%54%36%State50%54%54%36%State50%54%54%64%State54%54%54%64%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54%54%54%State54%54% <td>Students with Disabilities</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Students with Disabilities				
And content of the second secon	District	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
District80.%83.%80.%0.%State4.0%56.%16.%4.%Non-EPDistrict16%55.%30.%4.%State16%30.%36.%16.%State16%30.%36.%16.%District16%6.%30.%36.%State26.%4.6%0.3%16.%District26.%4.6%0.3%36.%State10%4.6%36.%16.%State26.%36.%36.%36.%State10%36.%36.%36.%State10%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State10%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State46.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.%State50.%36.%36.%36.	State	35.0%	34.7%	22.0%	8.4%
Initial         Image: state	Students with IEPs				
Note of the second se	District	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
District18%53%30.2%4.7%State18.%30.8%39.5%18.1%English Learners </td <td>State</td> <td>42.0%</td> <td>36.2%</td> <td>16.9%</td> <td>4.9%</td>	State	42.0%	36.2%	16.9%	4.9%
StateInformationInformationInformationState16%30.8%39.5%18.1%English LearnersInformationInformationInformationState32.6%45.8%20.3%1.3%MaleInformation30.5%34.8%34.9%State10%45.5%34.6%8.7%State11%30.4%36.4%1.1%State11%30.4%36.4%1.1%State26.%51.5%21.8%0.0%State48.9%21.8%30.9%15.3%State14.8%21.8%30.0%15.3%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.8%11.8%State11.8%11.8%11.	Non-IEP				
Initial ControlInitial ControlInitial ControlEnglish LearnersDistrict12.6%1.6%20.3%1.3%State32.6%45.8%20.3%1.3%MaleDistrict13.0%43.5%34.8%8.7%State17.1%30.4%35.4%1.1%District25.0%53.1%21.9%0.0%State14.8%32.8%37.0%15.3%No Binary11.0%1.0%1.0%	District	11.6%	53.5%	30.2%	4.7%
District'''State26%4.8%2.3%1.3%MaleDistrict15.0%4.5%4.8%8.7%State17.1%0.4%5.4%1.7%FemaleDistrict25.0%5.1%2.9%0.0%State14.8%2.8%3.7%1.5%District14.8%2.8%3.7%1.5%District11.6%1.6%1.6%	State	11.6%	30.8%	39.5%	18.1%
District         Control of the second s	English Learners			_	
Note         Image         Image         Image         Image           District         13.0%         43.5%         34.8%         8.7%           State         17.1%         30.4%         35.4%         17.1%           Female         51.1%         21.9%         0.0%           State         14.8%         32.8%         37.0%         15.3%           District         14.8%         32.8%         37.0%         16.3%           Non Binary         1         1         1         1	District	*	*	•	•
District13.0%43.5%34.8%8.7%State17.1%30.4%35.4%17.1%FemaleDistrict25.0%53.1%21.9%0.0%State14.8%32.8%37.0%15.3%DistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrict <td>State</td> <td>32.6%</td> <td>45.8%</td> <td>20.3%</td> <td>1.3%</td>	State	32.6%	45.8%	20.3%	1.3%
State         17.1%         30.4%         35.4%         17.1%           Female         51%         21.9%         0.0%           State         14.8%         32.8%         37.0%         15.3%           Non Binary         Image: State Sta	Male				
FemaleImage: state of the state	District	13.0%	43.5%	34.8%	8.7%
District25.0%53.1%21.9%0.0%State14.8%32.8%37.0%15.3%Non Binary	State	17.1%	30.4%	35.4%	17.1%
State         14.8%         32.8%         37.0%         15.3%           Non Binary	Female				
Non Binary     *     *     *     *       District     *     *     *     *	District	25.0%	53.1%	21.9%	0.0%
District * * * *	State	14.8%	32.8%	37.0%	15.3%
	Non Binary				
	District	*	*	*	*
State 0.0% 21.1% 21.1% 57.9%	State	0.0%	21.1%	21.1%	57.9%

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
English Learners				
District	•	*	*	•
State	32.6%	45.8%	20.3%	1.3%
Non-English Learners				
District	20.0%	49.1%	27.3%	3.6%
State	12.8%	28.8%	39.3%	19.1%
Low Income				
District	18.2%	52.3%	29.5%	0.0%
State	24.8%	39.8%	28.9%	6.5%
Non Low Income				
District	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%
State	7.3%	23.5%	43.4%	25.9%
Homeless				
District	•	•	*	•
State	37.3%	38.6%	20.5%	3.6%
Migrant				
District	•	*	*	•
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	33.5%	37.4%	25.6%	3.5%
Military	·	·		·
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	10.2%	29.3%	40.9%	19.6%
	1			I

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	22.9%	41.7%	29.2%	6.3%
State	19.6%	28.3%	33.2%	18.9%
White				
District	21.4%	38.1%	33.3%	7.1%
State	11.0%	23.4%	39.3%	26.3%
Black				
District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	+	<b>‡</b>
State	37.2%	36.1%	21.8%	4.9%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	26.0%	34.5%	29.7%	9.8%
Asian				
District	•	*	•	•
<b>District</b> State	* 6.6%	* 14.7%	* 35.0%	* 43.7%
	6.6%			
State	6.6%			
State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	6.6% slander	14.7%	35.0%	43.7%
State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I District	6.6% slander *	*	*	*
State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I District State	6.6% slander *	*	*	*

ScienceLevel 1Level 2Level 3Level 3Two or More RacesDistrict11Stale13%26.%21%22%Students with DisabilityUser Science20.%20.%22%Students with DisabilityScience20.%20.%20.%22%Students with DisabilityStudents with DisabilityScience20.%20.%20.%20.%Students with DisabilityScience20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%Science20.%20.%20.%Science20.% <th>Grade 8</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Grade 8					
Two or More RacesDistrictiiiDistrict93%26.4%32.1%22.%Students with DisabilitsUserict20.0%40.8%40.0%0.0%State40.5%30.2%21.1%6.2%State40.5%30.2%21.1%6.2%Students with EPsDistrict20.0%40.9%40.0%0.0%State6.0%31.7%3.9%3.6%Ositivit20.9%40.9%3.9%3.6%District21.7%23.7%23.7%23.7%23.7%State4.9%2.1%3.6%3.1%2.2%Ositivit21.2%District4.9%2.1%3.6%3.1%3.6%State3.4%4.0%4.0%1.1%3.1%State3.4%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.8%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State20.9%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%		Science				
DistrictİÍÍÍÍState183%264%321%22.%Students with Disabilits552.2%District20%40%40%0.%State40.%20.%21%2.%State40.%20.%21%2.%State40.%20.%21%2.%District60.%30.%21%3.%State60.%3.7%3.8%3.6%Non-IEP521%21%3.6%State40.%21%3.6%3.6%State49.%21.%3.6%3.6%State40.%21.%3.6%3.6%State40.%21.%3.6%3.6%State3.4%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State3.6%3.6%3.6%3.6%State		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
State83%86.4%82.1%22.2%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%60.4%State40.5%60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict60.4%60.4%60.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%60.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict61.4%61.4%61.4%61.4%Bistrict6	Two or More Races					
AndIndexIndexIndexIndexStudents with DisabilitieBistrict20.%40.0%40.0%0.0%State40.5%30.2%20.1%3.2%Students with IEPs20.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State20.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State50.6%31.7%13.9%3.8%State50.6%31.7%26.3%3.6%Non-IEP51.5%21.2%21.2%State23.7%42.1%26.3%21.2%State14.9%27.8%36.1%21.2%State43.4%40.7%48.3%1.1%State43.4%60.7%48.3%1.1%State20.9%26.6%31.9%20.6%State20.9%26.6%31.9%20.6%State154.5%154.5%1.5%21.5%State154.5%26.9%31.9%31.4%State154.5%26.9%31.9%31.4%State154.5%26.9%31.9%31.4%	District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	
District20.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State0.5%30.2%20.1%82%Students with IEPs50.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State20.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State50.6%31.7%3.9%3.8%Non-IEP23.7%26.3%7.9%State23.7%21.8%26.3%21.2%State14.9%27.8%36.1%21.2%State43.4%40.7%14.8%1.1%State20.8%36.0%20.6%30.4%State20.8%26.8%31.9%20.6%State7.4%47.8%30.4%4.3%	State	19.3%	26.4%	32.1%	22.2%	
Name         Addition         Addition         Addition         Addition           State         40.5%         30.2%         20.1%         9.2%           Students with IEPs         50.4%         40.0%         40.0%         0.0%           State         50.6%         31.7%         60.9%         3.8%           State         50.6%         31.7%         3.9%         3.6%           Non-IEP         50.5%         42.1%         26.3%         7.9%           State         30.3%         21.2%         21.2%         21.2%           State         14.9%         27.8%         36.1%         21.2%           English Learners         50.5%         40.7%         36.1%         1.1%           State         43.4%         40.7%         44.8%         1.1%           State         43.4%         40.7%         48.4%         1.1%           Male         50.5%         20.6%         20.6%         20.6%           State         20.9%         36.0%         20.6%         20.6%           Female         50.5%         50.4%         50.4%         4.3%	Students with Disabilities					
StateInitial of a bin o	District	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	
District20.0%40.0%40.0%0.0%State50.6%31.7%13.9%3.6%Non-IEPDistrict23.7%42.1%26.3%7.9%State14.9%27.8%36.1%21.2%English LearnersDistrict140.7%14.8%11%State43.4%40.7%14.8%11%MaleDistrict28.0%36.0%28.0%8.0%State20.8%36.0%31.9%20.6%District10.8%47.8%30.4%4.3%State15%29.9%34.5%17.1%	State	40.5%	30.2%	20.1%	9.2%	
State         Sole         Sole <t< td=""><td>Students with IEPs</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Students with IEPs					
Non-IEP         23.7%         42.1%         26.3%         7.9%           State         14.9%         27.8%         36.1%         21.2%           English Learners         27.8%         36.1%         21.2%           District         14.9%         40.7%         14.8%         1.1%           State         43.4%         40.7%         14.8%         1.1%           State         43.4%         60.7%         14.8%         1.1%           State         28.0%         36.0%         26.0%         6.0%           State         20.8%         26.8%         31.9%         20.6%           State         17.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         15%         29.9%         36.5%         17.1%	District	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	
District23.7%42.1%26.3%7.9%State14.9%27.8%36.1%21.2%English Learners56.1%21.2%DistrictState43.4%40.7%14.8%11%MaleDistrict28.0%36.0%28.0%8.0%State20.8%26.8%31.9%20.6%FemaleDistrict17.4%47.8%30.4%4.3%State18.5%29.9%34.5%17.1%	State	50.6%	31.7%	13.9%	3.8%	
State         14.9%         27.8%         36.1%         21.2%           English Learners         5         5         5         5         5           District         1         1         1         6         6         6         6         7          7         7 <th 10<="" <="" td=""><td>Non-IEP</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>Non-IEP</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Non-IEP				
English Learners         Image: Construct of the second secon	District	23.7%	42.1%	26.3%	7.9%	
District•••State43.4%40.7%14.8%11%MaleDistrict28.0%36.0%28.0%8.0%State20.8%26.8%31.9%20.6%FemaleDistrict17.4%47.8%30.4%4.3%State18.5%29.9%34.5%17.1%	State	14.9%	27.8%	36.1%	21.2%	
District         43.4%         40.7%         14.8%         1.1%           Male	English Learners					
Male         28.0%         36.0%         28.0%         8.0%           District         20.8%         26.8%         31.9%         20.6%           Female         71.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	District	*	*	*	*	
District         28.0%         36.0%         28.0%         8.0%           State         20.8%         26.8%         31.9%         20.6%           Female         7.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	State	43.4%	40.7%	14.8%	1.1%	
State         20.8%         26.8%         31.9%         20.6%           Female         71.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	Male					
Female         30.4%         4.3%           District         17.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	District	28.0%	36.0%	28.0%	8.0%	
District         17.4%         47.8%         30.4%         4.3%           State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	State	20.8%	26.8%	31.9%	20.6%	
State         18.5%         29.9%         34.5%         17.1%	Female					
	District	17.4%	47.8%	30.4%	4.3%	
Non Binary	State	18.5%	29.9%	34.5%	17.1%	
	Non Binary					
District * * * *	District	*	*	*	*	
State 3.4% 17.2% 36.2% 43.1%	State	3.4%	17.2%	36.2%	43.1%	

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	43.4%	40.7%	14.8%	1.1%
Non-English Learners				
District	22.9%	41.7%	29.2%	6.3%
State	16.1%	26.5%	35.9%	21.5%
Low Income				
District	23.8%	42.9%	28.6%	4.8%
State	29.2%	34.6%	27.5%	8.7%
Non Low Income				
District	+	<b>‡</b>	+	ŧ
State	10.3%	22.2%	38.7%	28.8%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	38.2%	36.0%	21.0%	4.8%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	•
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	43.2%	31.2%	20.1%	5.5%
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	18.1%	23.0%	35.2%	23.6%

### **Proficiency**

### What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All T	ests											
	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispar	nic Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>14.4</b> % *	<b>13.2</b> % *	<b>15.6</b> % *	*	14.6% *	<b>12.5</b> % *	20.0% *	*	*	*	7.1% *	<b>7.7</b> % *
State	<b>34.6</b> % *	<b>30.3%</b> *	<b>39.1%</b> *	<b>58.8%</b> *	<b>44.9%</b> *	<b>16.1%</b> *	22.2% *	62.8% *	<b>41.9%</b> *	<b>27.8</b> % *	<b>38.6%</b> *	<b>15.6%</b> *
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	ess Mig		Youth In Care	Military				
District	<b>7.7</b> % *	*	11.2% *	<b>‡</b> *	*	4		‡ *				
State	8.7%	9.4%	19.9%	11.7%	9.0%	% 1	2.6%	38.3%				

### Mathematics - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black		Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>7.0</b> % *	<b>9.2</b> % *	<b>4.8</b> % *	*	<b>7.9%</b> *	0.0% *		<b>6.7</b> % *	*	*	*	0.0% *	<b>2.6</b> % *
State	26.9% *	<b>28.4%</b> *	<b>25.2%</b> *	<b>42.2%</b> *	<b>36.7%</b> *	<b>8.0</b> % *		<b>14.3%</b> *	<b>60.9%</b> *	<b>32.7%</b> *	<b>20.7%</b> *	<b>30.0%</b> *	13.1% *
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	ess Mig	rant	You Care	th In e I	Military				
District	<b>2.6</b> % *	*	5.0% *	‡ *	*		‡ *	-	1				
State	<b>7.9%</b> *	<b>7.7%</b> *	<b>12.5%</b> *	<b>6.2%</b> *	6.5% *	6	<b>6.7</b> % *	6	28.9% *				

### **Proficiency (cont)**

Science - All Tests

	All	Male		Non Binary	White	Black	Hispar	ic Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>32.0</b> % *		20.0 /0	*	<b>33.0</b> % *	<b>‡</b> *	‡ *	*	*	*	‡ *	25.0% *
State	<b>51.0%</b> *			<b>74.0%</b> *	<b>65.0%</b> *	25.0% *	<b>38.0</b> % *	<b>76.0%</b> *	<b>56.0</b> % *	<b>44.0</b> % *	<b>55.0%</b> *	29.0% *
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	ess Migra		outh In are	Military				
District	25.0% *	*	<b>30.0</b> % *	‡ *	*	‡ *		‡ *				
State	<b>18.0</b> % *	<b>17.0</b> % *	<b>35.0%</b> *	<b>24.0</b> % *	28.0° *	% 2! *	5.0%	<b>57.0%</b> *				

### **Growth Percentile – IAR**

#### What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

ELA	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	34.4% 8,016	34.7% 4,129	34.1% 3,887	*	33.9% 6,754	33.6% 370	30.6% 337	*	*	*	46.3% 555	41.0% 2,294
State	<b>49.8%</b> 624,235	<b>48.7%</b> 319,031	<b>50.9%</b> 305,074	<b>43.6%</b> 130	<b>50.9%</b> 289,283	<b>47.2%</b> 99,937	<b>48.0%</b> 172,897	<b>56.3%</b> 34,477	<b>52.2%</b> 605	<b>49.3%</b> 1,409	<b>49.5%</b> 25,627	<b>44.0%</b> 117,288
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	ess Migra		th In e Mil	itary				

District	41.0% 2,294	*	33.6% 6,289	18.0% 18	*	50.5% 202	56.0% 112
State	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>49.3%</b>
	89,584	92,228	312,575	12,515	106	3,373	4,728

Mathemati	cs													
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Blac	k	Hispanic	c As	ian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	39.0% 8,932	36.8% 4,263	41.3% 4,669	•	38.4% 7,526	37.55 375	%	46.6% 513	*		*	*	43.2% 518	37.0% 2,034
State	<b>49.7%</b> 621,983	<b>49.5%</b> 317,855	<b>49.8%</b> 303,999	<b>52.7%</b> 129	<b>50.2%</b> 288,546	<b>46.6</b> 99,27		<b>49.0%</b> 172,261		. <b>5%</b> ,383	<b>52.5%</b> 602	<b>49.4%</b> 1,403	<b>49.3%</b> 25,516	<b>44.5%</b> 116,504
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	ess M	igrant	You Care	ith In e I	Military					
District	37.0% 2,034	*	39.0% 7,129	33.0% 33	*		38.8 155		13.5% 27					
State	<b>42.6%</b> 88,960	<b>47.3%</b> 91,879	<b>47.9%</b> 311,018	<b>45.0%</b> 12,376	10		<b>44.4</b> 3,33	39	<b>50.3%</b> 4,718					
ndicates nor	reported da	ata.‡indicat	<del>es suppresse</del>	<del>ed data due t</del>	to privacy	y concerns	. Stud	lent counts	s report	<del>ted a</del> re	counts out of g	roups 10 or g	reater.	

### **Participation Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	98.0% *	<b>97.4</b> % *	98.7% *	*	97.7% *	100.0% *	100.0% *	*	*	*	100.0% *	95.1% *
State	<b>98.4</b> % *	<b>98.3</b> % *	<b>98.5</b> % *	<b>98.7</b> % *	<b>98.8</b> % *	<b>97.5</b> % *	<b>98.3</b> % *	<b>99.2</b> % *	<b>97.8</b> % *	<b>98.2</b> % *	<b>97.4%</b> *	<b>97.</b> 1% *

	withIEPs	Learners	Income
District	95.1% *	*	<b>97.6</b> % *
State	<b>96.8%</b> *	<b>98.4</b> % *	<b>98.1%</b> *

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	98.0% *	<b>97.4</b> % *	<b>98.7</b> % *	*	<b>97.7</b> % *	100.0% *	100.0% *	*	*	*	100.0% *	95.1% *
State	<b>98.3%</b> *	<b>98.1%</b> *	<b>98.4</b> % *	<b>97.4</b> % *	<b>98.7</b> % *	<b>97.2</b> % *	<b>98.2</b> % *	<b>99.2</b> % *	<b>97.7%</b> *	<b>98.1%</b> *	<b>97.2</b> % *	96.8% *
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	95.1% *	*	<b>97.6</b> % *									
State	<b>96.5</b> % *	<b>98.3</b> % *	<b>97.9%</b> *									

#### Science - All Tests - Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>97.2</b> % *	<b>96.1</b> % *	98.2% *	*	96.8% *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	*	‡ *	92.3% *
State	<b>96.3%</b> *	<b>96.2</b> % *	<b>96.4</b> % *	<b>92.7</b> % *	<b>97.0%</b> *	<b>94.4%</b> *	<b>96.1%</b> *	<b>98.0</b> % *	<b>95.2</b> % *	<b>95.0%</b> *	<b>95.5%</b> *	<b>94.0%</b> *
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	<b>92.3</b> % *	*	<b>96.7</b> % *									
State	<b>93.8</b> % *	<b>96.7%</b> *	<b>95.4</b> % *									

### **Overall IAR ELA - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	98.0% 290	97.4% 147	98.6% 143	*	97.6% 247	100.0% 14	100.0% 15	*	*	*	100.0% 14	94.5% 69
State	<b>98.9%</b> *	<b>98.8%</b> *	<b>98.9%</b> *	<b>98.7%</b> *	<b>99.0%</b> *	<b>98.4</b> % *	<b>99.0%</b> *	99.3% *	<b>98.8%</b> *	<b>98.8%</b> *	<b>97.7</b> % *	<b>97.8</b> % *

	withIEPs	Learners	Income
District	94.5% 69	*	97.5% 233
State	<b>97.6%</b> *	<b>99.0%</b> *	<b>98.8%</b> *

### **Overall IAR Mathematics - Participation**

State	98.7% *	<b>98.7</b> % *	98.8% *	<b>97.5%</b> *	98.9% *	<b>98.1%</b> *	98.9% *	99.3% *	<b>98.7</b> % *	<b>98.8%</b> *	<b>97.5%</b> *	<b>97.5</b> % *
District	98.0% 290	97.4% 147	98.6% 143	*	97.6% 247	100.0% 14	100.0% 15	*	*	*	100.0% 14	94.5% 69
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	94.5% 69	*	97.5% 233
State	<b>97.3</b> %	<b>98.8%</b>	<b>98.6%</b>
	*	*	*

### **Overall DLM ELA - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ 9	‡ 5	‡ 4	*	‡ 7	‡ 2	*	*	*	*	*	‡ 9
State	<b>99.7</b> % *	<b>99.7%</b> *	<b>99.8%</b> *	100.0% *	<b>99.7</b> % *	<b>99.7</b> % *	<b>99.9%</b> *	<b>99.5</b> % *	100.0% *	100.0% *	<b>99.8%</b> *	<b>99.7</b> % *
	Studente	Enalish										
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low s Income	9								

#### **Overall DLM Mathematics - Participation**

99.9%

**99.7**%

**99.7**%

State

District	AII <b>‡</b> 9	Male <b>‡</b> 5	Female ‡ 4	Non Binary *	White <b>‡</b> 7	Black <b>‡</b> 2	Hispanic * *	Asian *	Pacific Islander *	American Indian * *	More Races *	with Disabilities <b>†</b> 9

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	‡ 9	*	‡ 9
State	<b>99.8%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>99.7%</b>
	*	*	*

### **Overall DLM Science - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ 2	‡ 1	‡ 1	*	‡ 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	‡ 2
State	100.0% *	100.0% *	<b>99.9%</b> *	100.0% *	100.0% *	<b>99.9%</b> *	100.0% *	100.0% *	100.0% *	100.0% *	100.0% *	100.0% *

#### **Overall - Participation**

State

2

100.0%

\*

100.0%

2

\*

100.0%

District	All 97.2%	Male	Female	Non Binary	White 96.8%	Black	Hispanic <b>±</b>	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities 91.7%
State	103 96.3% *	48 96.2% *	55 96.4% *	* 92.7% *	90 97.0% *	94.3%	96.1%	* 97.9% *	* 95.2% *	* 94.9% *	3 95.5% *	22 93.7% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	91.7% 22	*	96.6% 86
State	<b>93.3</b> %	96.6%	<b>95.3%</b>
	*	*	*

#### ELA - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	2.0% *	<b>2.6</b> % *	1.3% *	*	<b>2.3</b> % *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	*	*	0.0% *	<b>4.9</b> % *
State	<b>1.6%</b> *	1.7% *	1.5% *	1.3% *	<b>1.2%</b> *	<b>2.6%</b> *	1.7% *	<b>0.8%</b> *	<b>2.2%</b> *	<b>1.8%</b> *	<b>2.6%</b> *	<b>2.9%</b> *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	<b>4.9</b> % *	*	2.4% *
State	<b>3.2</b> %	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
	*	*	*

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	2.0% *	<b>2.6</b> % *	1.3% *	*	2.3% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	*	*	0.0% *	4.9% *
State	1.7% *	<b>1.9%</b> *	<b>1.6%</b> *	<b>2.6%</b> *	<b>1.3%</b> *	<b>2.8%</b> *	<b>1.8%</b> *	<b>0.8%</b> *	<b>2.3</b> % *	<b>1.9%</b> *	<b>2.8%</b> *	<b>3.2</b> % *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	<b>4.9</b> % *	*	2.4% *
State	<b>3.5%</b>	1.7%	<b>2.1%</b>
	*	*	*

6.2%

State

3.3%

4.6%

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>2.8</b> % *	<b>3.9</b> % *	1.8% *	*	3.2% *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	*	‡ *	7.7% *
State	<b>3.7%</b> *	<b>3.8%</b> *	<b>3.6%</b> *	<b>7.3%</b> *	<b>3.0%</b> *	<b>5.6%</b> *	<b>3.9%</b> *	<b>2.0%</b> *	<b>4.8%</b> *	5.0% *	<b>4.5</b> % *	<b>6.0%</b> *
	Students with IEPs	English Learner		9								
District	<b>7.7</b> %	*	<b>3.3</b> %									

### **Overall IAR ELA - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	2.0% *	<b>2.6</b> % *	<b>1.4</b> % *	*	<b>2.4</b> % *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	*	*	0.0% *	5.5% *
State	1.1% *	<b>1.2%</b> *	1.1% *	1.3% *	1.0% *	<b>1.6%</b> *	1.0% *	0.7% *	1.2% *	<b>1.2</b> % *	<b>2.3%</b> *	<b>2.2%</b> *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	5.5% *	*	<b>2.5</b> % *
State	<b>2.4</b> %	<b>1.0%</b>	1.2%
	*	*	*

#### **Overall IAR Mathematics - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	2.0% *	<b>2.6</b> % *	1.4% *	*	<b>2.4</b> % *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	*	*	0.0% *	5.5% *
State	<b>1.3%</b> *	1.3% *	<b>1.2%</b> *	<b>2.5%</b> *	1.1% *	<b>1.9%</b> *	1.1% *	<b>0.7%</b> *	1.3% *	<b>1.2</b> % *	<b>2.5%</b> *	2.5% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	5.5% *	*	<b>2.5</b> % *
State	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>1.2</b> %	<b>1.4%</b>
	*	*	*

### **Overall DLM ELA - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	*	*	*	‡ *
State	0.3% *	<b>0.3</b> % *	<b>0.2%</b> *	0.0% *	0.3% *	0.3% *	0.1% *	0.5% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	<b>0.2%</b> *	0.3% *
	Students with IEPs	5		9		1	1				1	

District	‡ *	*	‡ *
State	<b>0.3%</b> *	<b>0.1%</b> *	<b>0.3%</b> *

#### **Overall DLM Mathematics - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	*	*	*	‡ *
State	0.2% *	<b>0.3%</b> *	<b>0.2</b> % *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.3% *	0.3% *	0.1% *	<b>0.5%</b> *	0.0% *	0.0% *	<b>0.2%</b> *	0.2% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	‡ *	*	‡ *
State	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%
	*	*	*

#### Overall DLM Science - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	‡ *	*	*	*	*	*	*	‡ *
State	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>0.1%</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.0% *	<b>0.1%</b> *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *

	withIEPs	Learners	Income
District	‡ *	*	‡ *
State	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *

#### **Overall ISA - Non Participation**


	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>2.8</b> % *	4.0% *	1.8% *	*	<b>3.2</b> % *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	*	‡ *	8.3% *
State	<b>3.7%</b> *	<b>3.8%</b> *	<b>3.6%</b> *	<b>7.3%</b> *	<b>3.0%</b> *	<b>5.7%</b> *	<b>3.9%</b> *	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>4.8</b> % *	5.1% *	<b>4.5%</b> *	<b>6.3</b> % *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	8.3% *	*	3.4% *
State	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
	*	*	*

# **District Environment**

# About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



### **Early Learning**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarte	Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) Results - Overall										
	% of Entering Kindergartners										
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	ln 0 Developmental Areas	ln 1 Developmental Area	ln 2 Developmental Areas	In All 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math			
District	0.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	86.7%	36.0%	16.9%	17.2%	29.9%	57.5%	47.8%	<b>35.6</b> %			

#### % Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	37.5%	23.7%	18.0%	38.0%	24.1%	21.6%	30.6%	*	14.7%	13.0%	20.5%
	Non-IEP	Non- English Learners	Non Low Income	Homeless							
District	*	*	*	*							
State	*	*	*	*							

### **School Level Finances**

#### What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

	District Centralized Per Pupil Site level Per Pupil Expenditures Expenditures						Total Per Pupil Expenditures				Total	
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
District	480	\$838	\$8,195	\$9,033	\$1,267	\$4,856	\$6,123	\$2,105	\$13,051	\$15,156	\$727,590	\$8,002,599

### **School Level Finances (cont)**

		Site level Per Pupil Expenditures			District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures		
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total
District	480	\$838	\$8,195	\$9,033	\$1,267	\$4,856	\$6,123	\$2,105	\$13,051	\$15,156
Parkview Jr High School	225	\$534	\$8,485	\$9,019	\$1,267	\$4,856	\$6,123	\$1,801	\$13,341	\$15,142
LaSalle Elem School	256	\$1,105	\$7,941	\$9,046	\$1,267	\$4,856	\$6,123	\$2,372	\$12,797	\$15,169

### **District Finances**

#### What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Sou	Revenue By Source									
	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue				
District	28.3% \$2,052,229	1.0% \$71,668	54.1% \$3,914,344	4.2% \$300,933	12.4% \$900,824	\$7,239,998				
State	59.6%	3.8%	20.2%	4.0%	12.3%	*				

#### **Expenditure By Function**

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	53.7%	6.1%	26.7%	13.5%
State	47.2%	2.3%	30.4%	20.2%

#### Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	80.6% \$5,730,188	4.3% \$302,954	3.8% \$268,976	4.9% \$351,652	2.4% \$168,533	2.7% \$194,326	0.2% \$16,333	1.0% \$72,464	\$7,105,426
State	71.3%	7.4%	3.7%	7.8%	1.2%	1.8%	0.4%	6.4%	*

### **District Finances (cont)**

### **Other Financial Indicators**

	2020 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2020 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2021-22 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2021-22 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$137,098	3	\$8,666	\$13,120
State	*	*	\$10,636	\$17,952

### **Average Class Size**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	PK	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Overall
District	*	*	16	18	19	21	17	18	16	20	18
State	*	20	21	21	21	21	22	22	21	22	21

## **Total School Days**

#### What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	176
State	176

### **Health and Wellness**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

#### Days PE per week

	Days PE per week
District	4
State	4


#### **Truant Minor Count**

District	24
State	157,112



# About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.





## **Student Enrollment**

#### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgro	oups											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0%	50.8%	49.2%	0.0%	84.7%	5.1%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	22.5%
	472	240	232	*	400	24	19	*	*	*	29	106
State	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>
	1,857,790	954,190	901,981	1,619	853,165	307,166	511,065	101,782	1,801	4,582	78,229	361,334

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District	22.5%	0.0%	81.6%	‡	0.0%	2.8%	‡
	106	*	385	‡	*	13	‡
State	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
	284,032	271,983	910,675	42,367	364	13,316	15,281


By G	rades
------	-------

	PK	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	12	64	49	41	40	44	60	59	54	49
State	82,735	121,269	129,960	128,455	128,958	128,430	130,450	134,502	136,199	141,513

## **Advanced Academic Programs**

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.



#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	•	*	•	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>0.7%</b> 13,762		<b>0.9%</b> 8,758	<b>3.2%</b> 22	<b>0.8%</b> 7,412	<b>0.5%</b> 1,490	<b>0.6%</b> 3,421	<b>0.8%</b> 804	<b>0.7%</b> 13	<b>0.6%</b> 31	<b>0.7%</b> 591	<b>0.4%</b> 1,491
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	Yout ess Care	h In						
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*							
State	<b>0.2%</b> 501	<b>0.2%</b> 490	<b>0.4%</b> 4,213	<b>0.3%</b> 158	<b>0.2%</b> 24	,						

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>1.7%</b> 33,417	<b>2.0%</b> 19,970	<b>1.4%</b> 13,429	<b>2.7%</b> 18	<b>2.2%</b> 18,940	<b>0.4%</b> 1,331	<b>1.0%</b> 5,526	<b>5.5%</b> 5,887	<b>1.6%</b> 30	<b>1.5%</b> 72	<b>2.0%</b> 1,631	<b>0.8%</b> 2,863
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homele	Youth ess Care	In						
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*							
State	<b>0.4%</b> 1,077	<b>0.6%</b> 1,699	<b>0.7%</b> 6,539	<b>0.4%</b> 173	<b>0.2%</b> 35							

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>6.8%</b> 131,254	<b>6.2%</b> 61,336	<b>7.5%</b> 69,850	<b>10.0%</b> 68	<b>5.9%</b> 51,919	<b>4.9%</b> 16,074	<b>7.4%</b> 39,744	<b>17.1%</b> 18,174	<b>12.4%</b> 227	<b>5.8%</b> 282	<b>5.9%</b> 4,834	<b>3.8%</b> 14,030

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*
State	<b>1.6%</b> 4,654	<b>2.3%</b> 6,809	<b>5.0%</b> 47,236	<b>3.1%</b> 1,396	<b>0.8%</b> 120

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	(	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		*	*	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>0.1%</b> 2,355	<b>0.1%</b> 1,141	<b>0.1%</b> 1,214	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 1,063	<b>0.1%</b> 202		<b>0.0%</b> 188	<b>0.7%</b> 729	<b>0.2%</b> 3	<b>0.1%</b> 3	<b>0.2%</b> 167	<b>0.1%</b> 217
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel		uth In re							
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*								
State	<b>0.0%</b> 44	<b>0.0%</b> 39	<b>0.1%</b> 520	<b>0.0%</b> 9	<b>0.0</b> 3	1%							

#### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>22.7%</b> 138,767	<b>19.6%</b> 61,429	<b>26.0%</b> 77,249	<b>21.2%</b> 89	<b>23.9%</b> 66,690	<b>13.0%</b> 12,920	<b>20.6%</b> 36,163	<b>53.1%</b> 17,364	<b>33.4%</b> 219	<b>17.2%</b> 260	<b>23.5%</b> 5,151	<b>10.4%</b> 12,946

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>2.9%</b> 2,526	<b>6.4%</b> 3,907	<b>14.9%</b> 41,614	<b>7.5%</b> 1,194	<b>3.1%</b> 102

#### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.1%</b> 6,888	<b>0.9%</b> 2,740	<b>1.4%</b> 4,147	<b>0.2%</b> 1	<b>0.5%</b> 1,267	<b>1.7%</b> 1,692	<b>1.9%</b> 3,336	<b>1.2%</b> 406	<b>2.0%</b> 13	<b>1.3%</b> 19	<b>0.7%</b> 155	<b>0.8%</b> 953
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	Yout ess Care							
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>0.4%</b> 316	<b>0.7%</b> 402	<b>1.6%</b> 4,368	<b>1.0%</b> 155	<b>0.6%</b> 19	,						

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>20.3%</b> 390,960	<b>18.7%</b> 185,955	<b>21.9%</b> 204,693	<b>46.1%</b> 312	<b>22.0%</b> 192,469	<b>13.8%</b> 44,859	<b>18.7%</b> 100,219	<b>34.7%</b> 36,905	<b>26.3%</b> 483	<b>18.0%</b> 868	<b>18.6%</b> 15,157	<b>12.4%</b> 45,420

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>6.6%</b> 18,955	<b>7.3%</b> 21,412	<b>13.9%</b> 131,252	<b>10.3%</b> 4,703	<b>4.6%</b> 671

#### Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>14.0%</b> 85,182	<b>13.7%</b> 42,915	<b>14.2%</b> 42,235	<b>7.6%</b> 32	<b>18.0%</b> 50,161	<b>8.6%</b> 8,600	<b>10.2%</b> 17,888	<b>16.7%</b> 5,465	<b>11.8%</b> 77	<b>9.2%</b> 140	<b>13.0%</b> 2,851	<b>9.0%</b> 11,213
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homele	Youth ess Care	ı In						
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>6.7</b> %	6.0%	9.6%	8.7%	5.2%							

# Advanced Placement (AP) Exams - Grade 9 Image: Advanced Placement (AP) Exams - Grade 9 Number of AP Exams Taken Number of AP Exams Passed Number of Students Took One One or More AP Exams Image: District \* \* \* \* \* State 16,753 9,677 15,401 9,066

173

#### Advanced Placement (AP) Exams - Grade 10

3,661

26,728

1,381

5,843

	Number of AP Exams Taken	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams
District	*	*	*	*
State	52,702	33,951	25,036	16,471

#### Advanced Placement (AP) Exams - Grade 11

	Number of AP Exams Taken	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams
District	*	*	*	*
State	158,122	99,183	40,825	27,123


#### **Students Assessed For Giftedness**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>8.4%</b> 161,960	<b>8.3%</b> 82,804	<b>8.5%</b> 79,074	<b>12.1%</b> 82	<b>9.5%</b> 82,826	<b>4.7%</b> 15,310	<b>6.3%</b> 33,934	<b>19.8%</b> 21,125	<b>10.1%</b> 185	<b>9.0%</b> 433	<b>10.0%</b> 8,147	<b>7.3%</b> 26,740
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homele	Youth ess Care	ıln						
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>6.3%</b> 18,167	<b>6.2%</b> 18,038	<b>5.4%</b> 51,189	<b>3.2%</b> 1,484	<b>3.2%</b> 466							

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.1%</b> 20,484	<b>1.1%</b> 10,553	<b>1.1%</b> 9,928	<b>0.4%</b> 3	<b>1.3%</b> 11,027	<b>0.4%</b> 1,394	<b>0.6%</b> 3,374	<b>3.4%</b> 3,619	<b>1.1%</b> 21	<b>1.4%</b> 67	<b>1.2%</b> 982	<b>0.7%</b> 2,490

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers



#### **Students Identified As Gifted**

1,922

1,488

10,643

208

30

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>3.0%</b> 58,033	<b>3.0%</b> 30,004	<b>3.0%</b> 27,986	<b>6.4%</b> 43	<b>3.3%</b> 29,159	<b>1.0%</b> 3,185	<b>1.6%</b> 8,667	<b>12.9%</b> 13,689	<b>5.2%</b> 95	<b>2.7%</b> 132	<b>3.8%</b> 3,106	<b>1.6%</b> 5,846
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homele	Youth ess Care	ıln						
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	0.7%	0.5%	1.1%	0.5%	0.2%							

#### Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.6%</b> 11,006	<b>0.6%</b> 5,751	<b>0.6%</b> 5,252	<b>0.4%</b> 3	<b>0.6%</b> 5,598	<b>0.2%</b> 671	<b>0.3%</b> 1,380	<b>2.5%</b> 2,690	<b>0.8%</b> 15	<b>0.8%</b> 38	<b>0.8%</b> 614	<b>0.3%</b> 958
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	Yout ess Care	nIn						
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>0.1%</b> 289	<b>0.1%</b> 202	<b>0.2%</b> 1,664	<b>0.1%</b> 25	<b>0.0%</b> 4	,						

## **Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Districts *	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### **By Disability Category**

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	<b>All Students</b>	0.8%	*	7.8%	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	3.4%	*	31.1%	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	All Students	0.8%	*	7.9%	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	2.4%	*	23.8%	*	*	*	*
State	All Students	0.7%	*	5.0%	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	4.6%	*	32.3%	*	*	*	*



## Students With IEPs (cont)

#### **By Disability Category**

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	<b>3.6</b> %	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%	5.9%	2.8%	*
	Students with IEPs	14.3%	3.4%	12.6%	0.8%	23.5%	10.9%	•
All Peer	All Students	7.4%	1.3%	3.9%	0.3%	7.2%	3.8%	*
Districts *	Students with IEPs	22.4%	3.9%	11.8%	0.8%	21.7%	11.5%	*
State	All Students	2.5%	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%	2.2%	1.8%	*
	Students with IEPs	16.2%	5.4%	13.8%	1.0%	13.8%	11.3%	*

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility					
All									
District	51.4%	24.8%	15.2%	8.6%					
All Peer Districts *	60.5%	19.2%	14.3%	6.0%					
State	54.1%	26.1%	13.3%	6.5%					
White	White								
District	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*					
Black									
District	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*					
Hispanic									
District	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*					

By Race/ Ethnicity								
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility				
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander							
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*				
American Indian								
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*				
Two or More Races								
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*				

#### For Selected Disabilities

For Selected Disabilities									
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility					
Autism									
District	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts *	5.0%	24.6%	54.8%	15.6%					
State	3.5%	30.1%	49.7%	16.7%					
Emotional Disability	Emotional Disability								
District	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*					
Intellectual Disability									
District	*	*	*	*					
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*					
Other Health Impairment									
District	60.0%	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%					
All Peer Districts *	62.7%	21.7%	9.9%	5.7%					
State	57.3%	28.0%	9.1%	5.5%					
Specific Learning Disabili	ity								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%					
All Peer Districts *	4.9%	10.7%	46.7%	37.6%					
State	3.7%	13.0%	49.4%	33.8%					
Speech or Language Impa	Speech or Language Impairment								
District	38.9%	16.7%	38.9%	5.6%					
All Peer Districts *	55.2%	18.8%	21.4%	4.6%					
State	54.2%	23.9%	18.9%	2.9%					

By Race/ Ethnicity									
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider				
ΑΙΙ									
District	0.0%	35.7%	64.3%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	49.6%	14.6%	27.6%	0.1%	8.1%				
State	51.9%	16.6%	25.1%	0.1%	6.3%				
White									
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				
Black									
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				
Hispanic									
District	*	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*				

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

	Regular Early Childhood Program							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Native Hawaiian/ Pag	cific Islander			·	·			
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
American Indian								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Two or More Races								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			

# **Students**

## Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

#### For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood Program							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Autism								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	27.3%	9.1%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%			
State	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%			
Developmental Delay	Developmental Delay							
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Emotional Disability								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			



## Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

#### For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Intellectual Disability								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Other Health Impairm	nent		<u>.</u>					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	45.1%	10.1%	41.6%	1.6%	1.6%			
State	44.3%	11.6%	41.4%	1.3%	1.4%			

#### For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Specific Learning Disability								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	14.3%	3.6%	71.4%	10.7%	0.0%			
State	16.5%	8.2%	66.5%	7.0%	1.9%			
Speech or Language	Impairment							
District	0.0%	30.0%	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	50.8%	10.1%	36.6%	0.0%	2.5%			
State	55.6%	9.5%	33.6%	0.0%	1.3%			

## **State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2022-23 District Data	2022-23 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	82.6	N/A
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	13.7	N/A
3ae4	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4	100.00	95	Yes
3ae8	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8	85.71	95	No
3ae11	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11	*	95	N/A
3am4	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4	80.00	95	No
3am8	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8	85.71	95	No
3am11	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11	*	95	N/A
3be4	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against grade level academic achievement standards	0.00	11	No
3be8	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against grade level academic achievement standards	0.00	8	No
3be11	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	8	N/A
3bm4	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against grade level academic achievement standards	0.00	12	No
3bm8	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against grade level academic achievement standards	16.67	6.5	Yes
3bm11	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	7	N/A
3ce4	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against alternative academic achievement standards	100.00	15	Yes
3ce8	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	23.5	N/A

## State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2022-23 District Data	2022-23 State Target	District Met Target
3ce11	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	22.5	N/A
3cm4	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against alternative academic achievement standards	100.00	20.5	Yes
3cm8	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	5.5	N/A
3cm11	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	4	N/A
3de4	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 4 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	6.78	25.5	Yes
3de8	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 8 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	11.63	31.5	Yes
3de11	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 11 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	28	N/A
3dm4	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 4 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	5.36	21.5	Yes
3dm8	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 8 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	-9.69	26	Yes
3dm11	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 11 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	27.5	N/A
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	51.4	53.1	No
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	15.2	12.33	No
5c	Students ages 6-21 (and 5-year old kindergartners) with IEPs in separate educational facilities	8.6	6.37	No
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	0.0	47.0	No
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	64.3	26.04	No

## State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2022-23 District Data	2022-23 State Target	District Met Target
6c	Children ages 3–5 receiving special education and related services in the home	0.0	0.27	Yes
7a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	83.95	Yes
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	47.2	Yes
7b1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	84.1	Yes
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	45.1	Yes
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.00	85.8	Yes
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	100.00	53.4	Yes
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	*	71	N/A
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	83.00	100	No
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	*	100	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	*	29.6	N/A
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	*	63.5	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	*	75.75	N/A



## **English Learners**

#### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

#### EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	<b>99.9%</b> 252,357	5.8% *	* 54,729



## **Student Attendance**

#### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	91.0%	91.3%	90.7%	*	90.9%	90.7%	92.3%	*	*	*	91.1%	91.7%
State	91.2%	91.3%	91.1%	88.8%	92.8%	88.2%	89.9%	93.6%	91.3%	89.8%	91.1%	89.4%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	91.7%	*	90.4%									
State	89.3%	90.4%	89.2%									

## **Student Mobility Rate**

#### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

#### **Student Mobility**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>8.7</b> %	<b>9.7</b> %	<b>7.6</b> %	*	7.7%	+	+	*	*	*	<b>‡</b>	11.1%
State	7.4%	7.7%	<b>7.2</b> %	13.4%	4.8%	13.3%	8.0%	7.7%	8.5%	10.8%	8.2%	6.9%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless
District	11.1%	*	9.8%	<b>‡</b>
State	7.5%	10.9%	10.2%	29.8%

## **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgro	By Subgroups											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>35.8</b> %	<b>36.8</b> %	34.7%	*	35.6%	+	<b>‡</b>	*	*	*	42.4%	34.3%
State	28.3%	27.9%	<b>28.7</b> %	<b>39.0</b> %	20.7%	42.1%	<b>34.5</b> %	18.5%	29.0%	35.6%	29.1%	35.3%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	34.3%	*	<b>39.2</b> %									
State	36.2%	33.2%	<b>38.2</b> %									

#### **By Grades**

	PK	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade8
District	*	<b>43.1</b> %	42.3%	31.8%	35.6%	40.0%	22.0%	31.7%	37.3%	<b>39.2</b> %
State	*	33.6%	27.5%	24.3%	22.0%	20.8%	20.6%	22.6%	24.8%	26.6%

## **Chronic Truancy Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>13.2</b> %	12.8%	<b>13.7</b> %	*	12.8%	+	ŧ	*	*	*	+	11.0%
State	19.9%	19.9%	20.0%	16.9%	7.7%	44.7%	27.9%	7.9%	19.7%	27.0%	17.6%	22.9%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									

District	11.0%	*	15.6%
State	24.6%	26.6%	31.7%



## **Truancy Rate**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	127 30.5%	56 26.5%	71 34.6%	*	103 29.3%	3 15.8%	7 38.9%	*	*	*	14 51.9%	36 36.0%
State	<b>422,690</b> 25.1%	<b>216,052</b> 25.0%	<b>206,482</b> 25.2%	<b>156</b> 23.8%	<b>148,132</b> 19.3%	<b>85,946</b> 30.9%	<b>151,264</b> 32.3%	<b>18,279</b> 19.6%	<b>428</b> 25.9%	<b>1,194</b> 28.4%	<b>17,447</b> 25.4%	<b>84,510</b> 26.2%

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	36 36.0%	*	111 33.2%
State	<b>65,483</b>	<b>82,015</b>	<b>247,716</b>
	26.5%	33.3%	30.4%



## **Student Discipline**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

#### Total Student Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	22	*	1	6	17	*
State	114,218	458	119	69,648	67,124	2,023

#### **Total Incident Count**

State	250,351	472	121	138,319	108,741	2,698
District	46	*	1	8	37	*
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings



#### By Race - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
White						
District	39	*	1	8	30	*
State	75,846	135	39	44,702	30,559	411
Black						
District	1	*	*	*	1	*
State	93,206	188	39	43,799	47,398	1,782
Hispanic						
District	1	*	*	*	1	*
State	62,612	108	33	39,513	22,648	310
Asian						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,270	3	2	1,444	803	18
Native Hawaiian/	Pacific Islander					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	173	*	*	83	89	1
American Indian						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	681	3	*	372	303	3
Two or More Race	es .					
District	5	*	*	*	5	*
State	15,563	35	8	8,406	6,941	173



#### By Grade Band - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
K-8						
District	46	*	1	8	37	*
State	121,737	145	49	60,827	59,300	1,416
9-12						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	128,614	327	72	77,492	49,441	1,282

#### By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Tobacco						
District	2	*	*	*	2	*
State	7,952	2	*	4,445	3,481	24
Alcohol						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	4,165	3	*	1,845	2,306	11
Drug Offences						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11,150	86	16	3,227	7,568	253
Violence with Phy	/sical Injury	·	·	·	·	·
District	3	*	*	1	2	*
State	15,219	94	17	3,462	11,472	174



#### By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Violence without	Violence without Physical Injury								
District	19	*	*	1	18	*			
State	49,238	83	29	18,880	29,328	918			
Dangerous Weap	on: Firearm			1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	665	24	12	124	487	18			
Dangerous Weap	on: Other			1	1	1			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	2,644	60	11	664	1,769	140			
Other Reason									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*	*			

#### By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Students with IEF	Students with IEPs							
District	21	*	*	2	19	*		
State	69,205	76	10	35,739	32,510	870		
English Learners			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	30,924	51	18	19,482	11,239	134		



#### By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Low Income						
District	41	*	1	6	34	*
State	185,307	372	92	99,893	82,771	2,179

#### **By Duration - Incident Count**

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Less than 1 day						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22,054	84	5	18,376	3,567	22
1-2 days						
District	28	*	*	8	20	*
State	138,143	66	13	98,587	38,888	589
2-3 days	<u> </u>			1	1	1
District	2	*	*	*	2	*
State	40,987	1	2	14,990	25,620	374
3-4 days				1		1
District	11	*	*	*	11	*
State	28,489	1	1	4,798	23,319	370
4-10 days					·	·
District	3	*	*	*	3	*
State	13,943	16	10	1,374	12,253	290



#### **By Duration - Incident Count**

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Greater than 10 da	Greater than 10 days							
District	2	*	1	*	1	*		
State	6,735	304	90	194	5,094	1,053		

#### By Gender - Incident Count


	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Male						
District	33	*	1	5	27	*
State	168,584	309	78	93,901	72,584	1,712
Female						
District	13	*	*	3	10	*
State	81,424	162	43	44,184	36,051	984
Non Binary		·	·			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	343	1	*	234	106	2

# Accountability

# About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has five summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, Comprehensive School, and Intensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual\* summative designation.

\* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



### **School Improvement Funds**

#### What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

#### Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds


	School Year First Identified in Improvement Status	School Improvement Grant Allocation by School	Level of Support	Reason for Improvement Status & Grant Allocation	School Improvement Status
LaSalle Elem School	2019	\$65,000	Comprehensive	All	*

#### What is it?

Not Available.

#### Percentage of students with 95% attendance in JR/SR year

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>89,290</b> 72.1%	<b>45,134</b> 72.3%	<b>44,113</b> 72.0%	<b>43</b> 84.3%	<b>52,654</b> 88.3%	<b>7,120</b> 41.2%	<b>19,452</b> 55.9%	<b>6,727</b> 88.6%	<b>125</b> 76.7%	<b>161</b> 58.6%	<b>3,051</b> 76.0%	<b>15,236</b> 66.4%



#### Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category

All				
District	*	*	•	•
State	<b>15,394</b> 12.4%	<b>36,141</b> 29.2%	<b>43,060</b> 34.8%	<b>29,154</b> 23.6%

Percentage of students wh	no fall into each GPA catego	ory		
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	<2.8	No GPA
Male				
District	*	*	*	
State	<b>7,818</b> 12.5%	<b>21,887</b> 35.1%	<b>21,286</b> 34.1%	<b>11,438</b> 18.3%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>7,571</b> 12.3%	<b>14,240</b> 23.2%	<b>21,751</b> 35.5%	<b>17,707</b> 28.9%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5</b> 9.8%	<b>14</b> 27.4%	<b>23</b> 45.1%	<b>9</b> 17.6%

Percentage of students wh	no fall into each GPA catego	ry		
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	<2.8	No GPA
White				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>7,644</b> 12.8%	<b>11,888</b> 19.9%	<b>21,605</b> 36.2%	<b>18,509</b> 31.0%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>2,357</b> 13.6%	<b>8,114</b> 47.0%	<b>5,263</b> 30.5%	<b>1,543</b> 8.9%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>4,111</b> 11.8%	<b>14,044</b> 40.4%	<b>12,244</b> 35.2%	<b>4,385</b> 12.6%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>797</b> 10.5%	<b>776</b> 10.2%	<b>2,425</b> 31.9%	<b>3,593</b> 47.3%


ercentage of students who fall into each GPA category											
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	< 2.8	No GPA							
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander										
District	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>11</b> 6.8%	<b>37</b> 22.7%	<b>60</b> 36.8%	<b>54</b> 33.1%							
American Indian											
District	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>30</b> 10.9%	<b>102</b> 37.1%	<b>94</b> 34.2%	<b>49</b> 17.8%							
Two or More Races											
District	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>444</b> 11.1%	<b>1,180</b> 29.4%	<b>1,369</b> 34.1%	<b>1,021</b> 25.4%							
Students with Disabilities											
District * * * * * *											
State	<b>3,107</b> 13.5%	<b>8,999</b> 39.2%	<b>7,949</b> 34.6%	<b>2,891</b> 12.6%							

Percentage of students wh	ercentage of students who fall into each GPA category											
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	< 2.8	No GPA								
Students with IEPs												
District	*	*	*	*								
State	<b>2,129</b> 14.8%	<b>6,660</b> 46.3%	<b>4,563</b> 31.7%	<b>1,037</b> 7.2%								
English Learners												
District	*	*	*	*								
State	<b>1,110</b> 13.4%	<b>4,087</b> 49.4%	<b>2,598</b> 31.4%	<b>485</b> 5.9%								
Low Income												
District	*	*	*	*								
State	<b>6,735</b> 13.2%	<b>21,240</b> 41.7%	<b>16,497</b> 32.4%	<b>6,473</b> 12.7%								

### Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>24,363</b> 19.7%	<b>12,253</b> 19.6%	<b>12,095</b> 19.7%	<b>15</b> 29.4%	<b>14,978</b> 25.1%	<b>826</b> 4.8%	<b>3,630</b> 10.4%	<b>3,905</b> 51.4%	<b>53</b> 32.5%	<b>37</b> 13.4%	<b>934</b> 23.3%	<b>2,868</b> 12.5%

### Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement



#### Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic ELA Indicator

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>52,201</b> 42.2%	<b>22,804</b> 36.5%	<b>29,373</b> 47.9%	<b>24</b> 47.1%	<b>29,531</b> 49.5%	<b>3,996</b> 23.1%	<b>11,350</b> 32.6%	<b>5,378</b> 70.8%	<b>98</b> 60.1%	<b>90</b> 32.7%	<b>1,758</b> 43.8%	<b>5,784</b> 25.2%

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*
State	<b>1,428</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>14,121</b>
	9.9%	9.3%	27.7%

### Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic Math Indicator

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>87,721</b> 70.9%	<b>41,992</b> 67.3%	<b>45,691</b> 74.6%	<b>38</b> 74.5%	<b>45,501</b> 76.3%	<b>9,800</b> 56.7%	<b>22,507</b> 64.7%	<b>6,856</b> 90.3%	<b>126</b> 77.3%	<b>177</b> 64.4%	<b>2,754</b> 68.6%	<b>12,917</b> 56.3%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	*	*	*									
State	<b>6,259</b> 43.5%	<b>4,197</b> 50.7%	<b>30,104</b> 59.1%									

#### Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>75,953</b> 61.4%	<b>37,503</b> 60.1%	<b>38,432</b> 62.7%	<b>18</b> 35.3%	<b>40,116</b> 67.3%	<b>8,205</b> 47.5%	<b>19,033</b> 54.7%	<b>5,747</b> 75.7%	<b>103</b> 63.2%	<b>162</b> 58.9%	<b>2,587</b> 64.4%	<b>12,696</b> 55.3%

### Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*
State	<b>7,312</b>	<b>4,111</b>	<b>27,119</b>
	50.8%	49.6%	53.2%

#### Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

	3+	only 2	only 1	0
All				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>40,809</b> 33.0%	<b>25,424</b> 20.5%	<b>28,205</b> 22.8%	<b>29,328</b> 23.7%
Male	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>20,203</b> 32.4%	<b>13,128</b> 21.0%	<b>14,541</b> 23.3%	<b>14,563</b> 23.3%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>20,600</b> 33.6%	<b>12,285</b> 20.1%	<b>13,641</b> 22.3%	<b>14,754</b> 24.1%
Non Binary	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>6</b> 11.8%	<b>11</b> 21.6%	<b>23</b> 45.1%	<b>11</b> 21.6%

#### Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

	3+	only 2	only 1	0						
White										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>25,996</b> 43.6%	<b>13,831</b> 23.2%	<b>11,756</b> 19.7%	<b>8,073</b> 13.5%						
Black										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>2,595</b> 15.0%	<b>2,858</b> 16.5%	<b>5,062</b> 29.3%	<b>6,766</b> 39.1%						
Hispanic										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>7,816</b> 22.5%	<b>5,862</b> 16.9%	<b>8,846</b> 25.4%	<b>12,261</b> 35.3%						
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>2,872</b> 37.8%	<b>1,886</b> 24.9%	<b>1,487</b> 19.6%	<b>1,346</b> 17.7%						

#### Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

-		areer ready marcators								
	3+	only 2	only 1	0						
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander									
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>41</b> 25.1%	<b>23</b> 14.1%	<b>24</b> 14.7%	<b>75</b> 46.0%						
American Indian										
District	•	*	*	*						
State	<b>72</b> 26.2%	<b>49</b> 17.8%	<b>79</b> 28.7%	<b>75</b> 27.3%						
Two or More Races										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1,417</b> 35.3%	<b>915</b> 22.8%	<b>951</b> 23.7%	<b>732</b> 18.2%						
Students with Disabilities	5		<u> </u>							
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>5,703</b> 24.9%	<b>4,450</b> 19.4 %	<b>5,696</b> 24.8%	<b>7,099</b> 30.9%						


#### Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

	3+	only 2	only 1	0						
Students with IEPs										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>2,907</b> 20.2%	<b>2,568</b> 17.8%	<b>3,840</b> 26.7%	<b>5,076</b> 35.3%						
English Learners										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1,193</b> 14.4%	<b>1,344</b> 16.2%	<b>2,173</b> 26.2%	<b>3,570</b> 43.1%						
Low Income										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>11,094</b> 21.8%	<b>8,706</b> 17.1%	<b>13,292</b> 26.1%	<b>17,860</b> 35.0%						

#### Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>964</b> 0.8%	<b>339</b> 0.5%	<b>625</b> 1.0%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>571</b> 1.0%	<b>21</b> 0.1%	<b>189</b> 0.5%	<b>149</b> 2.0%	<b>4</b> 2.5%	<b>1</b> 0.4%	<b>29</b> 0.7%	<b>102</b> 0.4%

### Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement



#### Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts


	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>65.3</b> %	<b>64.2</b> %	66.5%	*	65.8%	68.0%	54.5%	*	*	*	64.5%	61.3%
State	69.9%	67.0%	72.8%	69.1%	68.5%	66.9%	72.5%	76.2%	72.0%	71.8%	71.1%	67.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income		·							

District	61.3%	*	<b>64.7</b> %
State	66.4%	<b>78.2</b> %	<b>69.9</b> %

#### Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Numberator Count

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	313	158	155	*	264	17	12	*	*	*	20	65
State	1,271,937	626,370	645,098	469	568,210	203,123	365,407	76,666	1,272	3,266	53,993	228,922

### Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Numberator Count




#### Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Denominator Count

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	479	246	233	*	401	25	22	*	*	*	31	106
State	1,820,402	934,146	885,577	679	829,677	303,489	504,356	100,639	1,767	4,547	75,927	341,635
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	106	*	385									
State	264,507	272,399	885,329									

#### Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	99.0%	<b>98.1</b> %	100.0%	*	98.9%	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	*	100.0%	95.4%
State	94.2%	94.3%	94.2%	96.2%	96.3%	86.8%	94.2%	98.0%	96.5%	94.8%	95.6%	93.1%

### Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications



#### Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications Numerator Count

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	310	155	155	*	261	17	12	*	*	*	20	62
State	1,198,737	590,333	607,953	451	547,213	176,302	344,175	75,128	1,228	3,096	51,595	213,132
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
District	62	*	246									
State	162,574	203,311	570,669									

#### Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications Denominator Count

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	479	246	233	*	401	25	22	*	*	*	31	106
State	1,820,402	934,146	885,577	679	829,677	303,489	504,356	100,639	1,767	4,547	75,927	341,635

### Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications Denominator Count

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	106	*	385
State	264,507	272,399	885,329



# About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



### **Teacher Information**

#### What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	All Schools	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	13	78.4%	100.0%
State	*	64.4%	97.2%

### **Student-To-Teacher Ratios**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	17	*
State	17	18

### **Average Teacher Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$50,121
State	\$73,916



### **Retention Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	78.2% 97	78.2% 97	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	85.7% 12	85.7% 12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	77.3% 85	77.3% 85	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>90.2%</b> 318,584	<b>90.7%</b> 270,195	<b>85.3%</b> 14,654	<b>93.0%</b> 21,699	<b>90.2%</b> 5,030	<b>84.4%</b> 190	<b>91.8%</b> 606	<b>85.1%</b> 2,319	<b>70.8%</b> 3,891
	Male	<b>91.4%</b> 75,736	<b>92.0%</b> 64,848	<b>85.0%</b> 3,134	<b>93.4%</b> 4,992	<b>92.1%</b> 1,169	<b>81.1%</b> 60	<b>93.4%</b> 156	<b>84.9%</b> 591	<b>67.3%</b> 786
	Female	<b>89.9%</b> 242,848	<b>90.3%</b> 205,347	<b>85.3%</b> 11,520	<b>92.8%</b> 16,707	<b>89.6%</b> 3,861	<b>86.1%</b> 130	<b>91.3%</b> 450	<b>85.2%</b> 1,728	<b>71.7%</b> 3,105
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### **Full-Time Equivalents**

#### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 37	97.3% 36	*	*	*	*	*	*	2.7% 1
	Male	18.9% 7	19.4% 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	81.1% 30	80.6% 29	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 134896.6	<b>80.6%</b> 108715.6	<b>6.2%</b> 8387.2	<b>8.4%</b> 11339.2	<b>1.9%</b> 2528.6	<b>0.1%</b> 84.2	<b>0.2%</b> 260.1	<b>0.8%</b> 1096.3	<b>1.8%</b> 2485.4
	Male	<b>23.5%</b> 31704.3	<b>23.8%</b> 25848.6	<b>21.9%</b> 1840	<b>22.6%</b> 2557.1	<b>23.4%</b> 591.8	<b>28.3%</b> 23.8	<b>22.7%</b> 59	<b>23.9%</b> 261.9	<b>21.0%</b> 522.1
	Female	<b>76.5%</b> 103192.2	<b>76.2%</b> 82867.1	<b>78.1%</b> 6547.2	<b>77.4%</b> 8782.1	<b>76.6%</b> 1936.7	<b>71.7%</b> 60.4	<b>77.3%</b> 201	<b>76.1%</b> 834.4	<b>79.0%</b> 1963.3
	Non Binary	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	* *	*



### **Teachers with Gifted Endorsement**

#### What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

	Teachers with Gifted Endorsement
District	•
State	1,185

### **National Board Certified Teachers**

#### What is it?

This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Male	Female	Unknown
District	1	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	*
State	2,293	1,831	143	192	66	1	7	26	457	1,836	*



### **Teachers with Short Term or Provisional Licenses**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	2 5.4%	2 5.0%	1
State	<b>4,465</b> 3.3%	<b>1,349</b> 4.0%	<b>658</b> 1.0%

### **Novice Teachers**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	8 21.6%	8 21.0%	:
State	<b>9,383</b> 7.0%	<b>2,627</b> 9.0%	<b>2,031</b> 5.0%



### **Teacher Out of Field**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	0 0.0%	•	1
State	<b>4,649</b> 4.2%	<b>836</b> 4.0%	<b>1,243</b> 3.0%

## Average Teaching Experience

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	13	*	*
State	*	*	*



### **Teachers Education**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

#### Teachers Education - Bachelor's

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	73.0%	73.0%	*
State	41.0%	41.1%	35.1%


#### Teachers Education - Master's

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	27.0%	27.0%	*
State	58.2%	57.1%	64.6%

# **Administrators**

# About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



### **Student-To-Staff Ratios**

### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	8	94
State	9	141

### **Demographics**

### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 5	100.0% 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	80.0% 4	80.0% 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	20.0% 1	20.0% 1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 13214.3	<b>75.6%</b> 9995.7	<b>14.8%</b> 1954.1	<b>6.9%</b> 910.7	<b>1.0%</b> 136	<b>0.1%</b> 9	<b>0.1%</b> 19.7	<b>0.7%</b> 92.3	<b>0.7%</b> 96.8
	Male	<b>40.5%</b> 5353.4	<b>43.4%</b> 4339.7	<b>28.5%</b> 557.8	<b>35.6%</b> 323.9	<b>41.3%</b> 56.2	<b>33.4%</b> 3	<b>49.3%</b> 9.7	<b>30.2%</b> 27.8	<b>36.5%</b> 35.3
	Female	<b>59.5%</b> 7860.9	<b>56.6%</b> 5656	<b>71.5%</b> 1396.3	<b>64.4%</b> 586.8	<b>58.7%</b> 79.9	<b>66.6%</b> 6	<b>50.7%</b> 10	<b>69.8%</b> 64.5	<b>63.5%</b> 61.4
	Non Binary	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	* *	*

### **Principal Turnover**

### What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
District	4
State	2

### **Average Administrator Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

Average Administrator Salary		
District	\$82,983	
State	\$116,908	

### **Novice Administrator**

#### What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	2 40.0%	2 50.0%	1
State	<b>1,563</b> 11.8%	<b>341</b> 14.6%	<b>253</b> 9.9%

# About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

### **Student Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	7.1%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

### **Student Environment (cont)**

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence			
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide		
District	0.0%	0	0		
State	2.2%	153	5		

### **Academic Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work	
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work
District	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	13	0	0	0
State	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
	78,272	143,753	5,004	65,736



# **About the data**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a <u>sample of student</u> populations of interest. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

### **Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP**

#### What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading									
	Grade 4				Grade 8				
	ldentified as students with disabilities		English Languag	e Learners	Identified as students with disabilities English Lang		English Language	e Learners	
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	
Illinois	91	2.1	96	1	95	1.4	94	1.6	

#### Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics


	Grade 4				Grade 8			
	ldentified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		ldentified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners	
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
Illinois	95	1.2	98	0.7	94	1.1	95	2



### **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



\* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



### **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




📕 Below NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Proficient 📕 NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.




### **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)**

\* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

### NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

#### Reading - Grade 8



📕 Below NAEP Basic 🖉 NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Proficient 📕 NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.

### **Results for Student Groups**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Gr	oups in 2019 - Reading	- Grade 4					
			Percentage at or above N	Percentage at NAEP			
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
White	46.0%	228	75.0%	45.0%	12.0%		
Black	18.0%	200	46.0%	17.0%	2.0%		
Hispanic	27.0%	208	55.0%	23.0%	4.0%		
Asian	4.0%	238	82.0%	57.0%	19.0%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+		
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	4.0%	229	74.0%	43.0%	12.0%		
Gender							
Male	50.0%	215	61.0%	32.0%	8.0%		
Female	50.0%	221	68.0%	36.0%	9.0%		
Non Binary	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ		
National School Lunch Program							
Eligible NSLP	+	ŧ	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	+	ŧ	+	+	+		

# Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

### **Results for Student Groups (cont)**

### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 8

			Percentage at or above N	Percentage at NAEP				
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced			
Race/Ethnicity	Race/Ethnicity							
White	48.0%	274	82.0%	45.0%	6.0%			
Black	18.0%	246	56.0%	15.0%	1.0%			
Hispanic	25.0%	255	66.0%	25.0%	2.0%			
Asian	6.0%	290	90.0%	66.0%	15.0%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	ŧ	+			
American Indian	#	ŧ	+	+	+			
Two or More Races	3.0%	263	72.0%	32.0%	5.0%			
Gender								
Male	51.0%	260	69.0%	32.0%	4.0%			
Female	49.0%	269	78.0%	40.0%	6.0%			
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+			
National School Lunch Program								
Eligible NSLP	+	ŧ	+	+	+			
Not Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+			

# Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.


NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.



### **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



\* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



### **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




📕 Below NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Proficient 📕 NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.




### NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

\* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

### NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

#### Mathematics - Grade 8



📕 Below NAEP Basic 🖉 NAEP Basic 📕 NAEP Proficient 📕 NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.

### **Results for Student Groups**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

<b>Results for Student Groups in 2019</b>	9 - Math - Grade 4
---	--------------------

			Percentage at or above N	ercentage at or above NAEP			
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
White	46.0%	246	86.0%	51.0%	11.0%		
Black	17.0%	217	57.0%	14.0%	1.0%		
Hispanic	27.0%	231	74.0%	28.0%	4.0%		
Asian	4.0%	259	88.0%	65.0%	25.0%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	4.0%	238	76.0%	40.0%	12.0%		
Gender							
Male	50.0%	239	78.0%	41.0%	10.0%		
Female	50.0%	236	77.0%	36.0%	6.0%		
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+		
National School Lunch Program							
Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		

# Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

### **Results for Student Groups (cont)**

### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 8

			Percentage at or above N	Percentage at NAEP			
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
White	48.0%	291	78.0%	42.0%	12.0%		
Black	18.0%	262	49.0%	14.0%	2.0%		
Hispanic	25.0%	273	62.0%	24.0%	5.0%		
Asian	6.0%	320	92.0%	73.0%	36.0%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+		
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	3.0%	286	71.0%	38.0%	17.0%		
Gender							
Male	51.0%	283	69.0%	35.0%	12.0%		
Female	49.0%	282	70.0%	32.0%	9.0%		
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+		
National School Lunch Program							
Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	ŧ	+	+	+	+		

# Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.